

**Albemarle County Planning Commission  
FINAL Minutes May 4, 2021**

The Albemarle County Planning Commission held a public hearing on Tuesday, May 4, 2021 at 6:00 p.m.

Members attending were Julian Bivins, Chair; Karen Firehock, Vice-Chair (arrived at 6:08 p.m.); Rick Randolph; Daniel Bailey; Corey Clayborne; Jennie More; Tim Keller; and Luis Carrazana, UVA representative.

Members absent

Other officials present were David Benish; Jodie Filardo; Charles Rapp, Director of Planning; Andy Herrick, County Attorney's Office; and Carolyn Shaffer, Clerk to the Planning Commission.

**Call to Order and Establish Quorum**

Mr. Bivins said the meeting was being held pursuant to and in compliance with Ordinance No. 20-A(16), "An Ordinance to Ensure the Continuity of Government During the COVID-19 Disaster." He said opportunities for the public to access and participate in the electronic meeting will be posted at [www.albemarle.org](http://www.albemarle.org) on the Community County Calendar, when available.

Ms. Shaffer called the roll. All Commissioners indicated their presence, except Ms. Firehouse, who was en route.

Mr. Bivins established a quorum.

**Other Matters Not Listed on the Agenda from the Public**

There were none.

**Consent Agenda**

Approval of Minutes for April 6, 2021 and April 13, 2021.

Mr. Bivins asked the Commissioners if they wished to pull anything from the consent agenda and heard no requests to do so.

Mr. Keller moved to approve the consent agenda.

Mr. Bailey seconded the motion, which carried 6:0 (Ms. Firehock arrived late).

**Public Hearings**

**SP201900014 & SP201900015 Blue Ridge Swim Club Amendment**

Mr. Clark presented the staff report. He stated there were two special use permit amendment requests for Blue Ridge Swim Club. To review the history, Mr. Clark said the swimming pool itself had been in this location since about 1905 and operating as a swim club for area residents. He said it was a nonconforming use for many years, and then in 2011, it received approval of two

special use permits, one for a day camp with some limited overnight use and one for the swim club itself.

Mr. Clark said that in 2019, the Board approved two amendments to the original permits to allow the division of the smaller parcel with the idea being that the operator of the camp could put a house on that separate parcel and use it to have a presence on the site.

Mr. Clark said that the proposals that evening were further amendments to those two special use permits, and they would add permission for a pavilion with bathrooms and a kitchen for the camp use and also a garage/storage structure by the existing parking area. He said the camp SP would extend the season of operation, which is currently summer only, to April 1<sup>st</sup> through November 15<sup>th</sup>, and that the purpose is to be able to offer camp and environmental education programs during good weather in the nontraditional off-season of what normally would be a summer camp but extended into the school year so that environmental education programs could go on.

Mr. Clark presented an aerial view of the main portion of the property and described it. He discussed the conceptual plan that was approved in 2019, which will remain in place because there are many features of the proposals in the amendments that evening that do not appear on the larger plan. He described the location of the new pavilion and screening trees for that and the area for the new storage building in the parking area and some screening trees for that, which are the proposed changes as far as construction goes, along with the seasonal change.

Mr. Clark said it is important to remember that the area for the proposed changes is significantly elevated above the stream valley where the pool itself is; they are two separate use areas. He demonstrated the approximate location of the new pavilion on the flat next to the parking area.

Mr. Clark said for the analysis of the special use permit, staff did not feel that there was any substantial detriment in adding two structures which would be screened and also extending the season from three and a half months to seven and a half months of the year.

Mr. Clark described the character of the area as for some time having been a low-density residential portion of the rural areas. He said that character overall would not be changed, but in the interest of protecting that character, the applicant has proposed screening vegetation to mitigate any visibility of the new structures and maintaining the existing conditions that restrict amplified sound.

Mr. Clark said in terms of harmony, the previous amendments were much concerned with the possible impacts on the historic pool, which is listed on the National Register. He said the new facilities are farther from that pool area and elevated above around the parking area, so there is not the same visual impact concern as before.

Mr. Clark mentioned the VDOT review. He said VDOT has reviewed all three of the special use permits (the three sets of original amendments), and they found that the proposed changes were acceptable. Mr. Clark checked back with them to make sure that the extension of the season was not going to raise a concern for them, and they said they had no concerns raised by that.

Mr. Clark said under the consistency with the comprehensive plan criteria, staff felt that extending the season and allowing these additional structures to support the use would help to keep this historic resource going, which means funding coming in for its maintenance, which helps to preserve the historic resource for the future.

Mr. Clark summarized that favorable factors included lack of concern from VDOT for transportation impacts and a longer camp season providing more economic viability for the historic resource.

Mr. Clark said the most significant factor unfavorable was some of the visibility from the lots to the south; however, the applicant has added screening vegetation to the proposed plan to reduce visibility.

Mr. Clark said staff was recommending approval of both amendments with conditions. He highlighted the edited conditions. He said for both permits, there is a condition that said food prepared off-site may be sold from a concession stand that was depicted on the conceptual plan. He said the applicant was concerned about potential confusion about this requiring off-site food preparation when a pavilion with a kitchen is being added to help with the camp use. Mr. Clark said in discussing that, they found that he does not use the concession stand, and so there is no need for it; it is simpler to avoid the confusion by deleting this condition, and that has been done in both sets of conditions. He said this is new since the Board's staff report came out and was okay with the applicants, and it was felt to be the simplest solution.

Mr. Clark said the other change that was new was in #1 for the camp use. He said this section was included in the swim club SP amended text, but he had failed to put it into the condition and realized that morning it was missing. He said in the amended guidelines for remaining in accord with the conceptual plan, there is supposed to be a second sentence requiring that the screening vegetation be native evergreen species at least six feet in above-ground height at the time of planting.

Mr. Clark paused for questions.

Mr. Randolph asked if under this proposal, there was any limitation as to the nature of the up to 200 people that could be in this venue at any particular time. He asked if it was limited only to members and their friends and family. He said he was raising the possibility that this venue could be used for weddings for up to 200 people.

Mr. Clark said that weddings could not happen because weddings would be a separate use that is not yet permitted on the site. He said he had talked to several neighbors about this because they were concerned about that. He said he had also talked to Mr. Barnett. He said in order to operate legally, weddings would have to be permitted through a special use permit for special events, which has not been applied for on this site, and the applicant is aware that that is not a permitted use under the camp or swim club approvals.

Mr. Randolph repeated that therefore under this proposal, the primary activity for the up to 200 people who could be there at the site at one particular time must be focused on the swim club and swimming or the camp.

Mr. Randolph said with potentially 200 people in the camp, there would be more trash generated on the site, and with more trash, there would likely need to be a commercial hauling. He asked if that was something the transportation planner and VDOT had factored in on Owensville Road. He noted that the Board had worked three years ago to designate this road along with Miller School Road as two highways where the Board has limited truck traffic on it. He said one of the reasons is because of the nature of the curves and the line of sight. He said he was concerned

with more people on the site and thus more trash, there would be a different-sized vehicle coming in to service this facility. He wondered if there had been any discussion by staff that for trucks, there would be a right turn in and right turn exit only so there would not be the scenario with a large trash truck coming out of the exit and trying to make a left-hand turn onto Owensville Road.

Mr. Clark pointed out that the number of people on-site was not part of the changes in this proposal. He said the applicants had asked to add a couple of more structures and to change the season, but the number is the same. He said that the applicant could answer the trash question more directly, but that staff had not specifically talked about trash trucks. He said it would be good to hear from the applicant what the current arrangements are for this property and for the others in the area.

Mr. Bivins welcomed Ms. Firehock to the meeting.

Mr. Bivins asked for help with the distinction that the swimming would not begin before 12, but the daily camping and those activities could begin at 8:30. He asked why they both could not begin at 8:30.

Mr. Clark said he did not know if the applicant wanted to make that change to the schedule. He said the hours are leftovers from the previous SPs from back as far as 2010.

Mr. Bivins asked if by chance the number of nights (two times a week) that people could use it as an overnight would be continued into November.

Mr. Clark replied that the way the conditions are currently written, yes.

Mr. Bivins thanked Mr. Clark for putting the trees back in because he remembered two colleagues, Mr. Keller and Ms. Firehock, had deep passions about the types of trees that would be replaced.

Mr. Clark said they had put a lot of time into tree placement and tree species with the previous amendments because they were addressing visual impacts on the historic pool from changes that were much closer to it. He said this was different in that their aim is to get evergreens in place to screen the new structures not from the historic pool but from the dwellings along the southern property line.

Mr. Bivins said the last time when this particular property came before the Board, they had segregated some land out for a housing site.

Mr. Clark said that the swim club property is shown as two parcels, and when the Board had last seen it, it was still one. He said the two-acre piece has since been carved off, though it has not been built on yet. He said both parcels have to be under the SP approval because even though the residential parcel does not have any activities on it, it does contain the entranceway.

Mr. Bivins clarified that the new parcel (the two acres) gives the easement to the camp parcel. He asked who holds the easement to get onto the piece of property.

The applicant, Mr. Barnett, said he was primarily eager to answer questions. He thanked Mr. Clark for his work on the project. He said he had been fortunate to have the County support for the operation of their outdoors camp and for the continued operation of the historic swim club property. He said he has enjoyed getting to know the pool's members and the neighbors and

having had the opportunity to shepherd this unique space over the last 10 years. He said it was particularly gratifying to be there the past summer when the place got so much use from people who were neighbors to the property. He said his goal with this amendment is just to build some very much needed storage facility and a pavilion for the property and to give them the opportunity to operate environmental education programming in the spring and fall seasons.

Mr. Barnett said as far as trash goes, they generate one 40-gallon container, and they put it out by the street. He said the trucks do not come down the driveway, and it is a pretty small amount of trash.

Mr. Barnett said the pool's hours are that they can open any time between noon and 8:00 daily. He said the coming summer, they would be opening noon to 6:00 on the weekends and four evenings a week from 5:00 to 7:00. He said it would be great to be able to start earlier and to have that flexibility. He said he had often thought it might be better to start at 11:00, but when he bought the place years ago, he had been told that noon was probably the best time to start and to be open eight hours a day as had been done in the past, which explains the hours. He said they have been able to work within this.

Mr. Barnett asked Mr. Clark to put the picture back up of the tree coverage on the property, as it was interesting to see. He said it is difficult to grow trees in this shaded space with almost no open space. He said his first thought was to placing hedge trees along the edge of the property, but it is hard to grow them with so much shade there already. He said they were doing their best, but it is a pretty well covered property.

Mr. Barnett said the pavilion is actually in the middle of the trees, and they were able to keep pretty much all of the trees around the pavilion that they had built already, and that would be his goal with this place. He said he is very interested in keeping the trees as much as possible. He said he is interested in teaching the children about shepherding the outdoors in a very responsible way and wants to model that.

Mr. Barnett said another thing that is great about it is that shade is wonderful in summer camp, and they have a wonderful shady place and like to keep the pool as cool as possible. He said it can be dangerous, however, and so they have to take out any trees that look like they might represent a falling threat. He said that the reason they want to build the pavilion is that afternoon thunderstorms are quite common in the summer, and as it is, the pavilion is far away from the parking lot; it is down in the valley on the other side of the property. He said it is a three- or four-minute run through the trees from the safe spot to where the cars are in the afternoon, and sometimes pickup will happen in the afternoon, and they really just need a safe space that is closer to the parking lot.

Mr. Barnett said he put in a number of things that he thought they might want over the next six or eight years like the kitchen and bathroom at the top parking lot just because these things have historically taken a long time, and he wanted to put things in there that they thought they might want to do. He said he would not do this in the next year but hopefully might get started on some of these projects in the year after that.

Mr. Barnett responded to the question about the house and said they had cut off that property thinking that they would move there, but his family was growing, and they got to a point in their personal lives that they needed to move from the small house that they rented to a larger one from his family. He said his children became ensconced in their local school system in the City

(Jackson-Via) and liked it there, and the family found that it would be difficult to move them out of that situation. He said what started as a plan to be out there and be on the property more regularly as a homeowner has not worked that way yet, although they would still like to get out there eventually.

Mr. Barnett pointed out the driveway on a map which goes to Owensville Road and said it is probably a quarter mile long and is technically owned by the lot there now, but there is a driveway agreement that had been drafted for both properties, and he owns them both at this point, but even if one got sold off, there is an easement there and an agreement to maintain that driveway.

Ms. Firehock apologized for being late and said that she had had a power outage and had to drive 12 miles to get power, so she did appreciate the storms and the need for shelter.

Ms. Firehock commented that there are shade-tolerant species that can also do a good job in screening.

Ms. Firehock said she wanted to understand more about the reason for the dates of April 1 to November 15. She noted it did state in the application that it is for environmental education camp, etc., but she wanted to understand why such a wide window was needed. She said that he might want to incorporate some of the time that children are in school, but as the leaves come off the trees and such, there is less to survey and learn about.

Mr. Barnett said it was to use that space in order to make part of the camp's mission one of educating this generation of children. He said he thinks it is healthier for that place when they are out there year-round. He said the idea is to develop programs and provide these programs to local schools where they can do environmental ed out there, and that is a great spot to do it. He said he tried to plan it for out of the winter and in times when the leaves would be on the trees and it would not be an affront to any neighbors who did not want to see anyone over there.

Mr. Barnett said the pool property at one point was 1,000 acres, and various owners over the years had sold off pieces of it, so now the houses come up somewhat close to it, but he thought during those times of the year, the leaf cover is going to be still pretty significant, and the camp itself has a big boundary on each of its sides to protect neighbors from seeing whatever they might do at the camp. He said he felt like there was nothing better that one could do to teach young people about shepherding the resources in the outdoors and being good environmental stewards than to have them out there. He said there are programs that are done elsewhere that he is hoping to bring to Charlottesville and make available to area schools.

Ms. Firehock said that she had done environmental education and run camps herself and does understand what is involved, but she is thinking also about the noise attenuation that the leafed-out trees provide, and they will not be leafed out by April 1<sup>st</sup>. She said the later it goes into the spring season, the more likely there will be noise attenuation from the trees being leafed out. She said she was trying to balance Mr. Barnett's desire to have a long season with some of the neighbors' comments about their desire for a quiet enjoyment of their residence. She said she did not require a comment on that, but it might weigh into her deliberation on whether the season should be extended all the way to April 1<sup>st</sup>.

Mr. Clayborne asked Mr. Barnett to share a little bit about the kitchen, whether it would be a commercial kitchen, and if so, whether he would need a grease interceptor on the site anywhere.

Mr. Barnett said it would need to be a commercial kitchen. He said they provide lunch for the camp and do them off site at this point and bring them there and serve them. He said sometimes they are bagged lunches and sometimes out of trays, but they are required to do those in a commercial kitchen. He said he was trying to remember the conversation when he had someone talk to him about the grease trap issue, and he thinks that is something they would have to do, but it was not thought to be something that would be complicated to do. He noted that their sewage system is on site.

Mr. Clayborne said his comments were intended as a courtesy for Mr. Barnett to give some thought to where that is placed on the site as they move forward.

Mr. Clayborne asked the approximate size of the storage shelter and what was planned to be stored there.

Mr. Barnett asked Mr. Clark to help him because he thought the idea was 1,200 square feet but wanted clarification to make sure that was the proposal.

Mr. Clark said the note on the plan says that the pavilion overall would be 1,200 square feet. He said the storage building was also 1,200 square feet.

Mr. Clayborne asked what was planned to be stored and whether it was more like inert things or chemicals.

Mr. Barnett answered that it was a tractor and maybe the bus. He said there was no storage space there now and that he covers things with tarps, so they would like to have some basic storage facility. He said they make do, but it is a huge need. He said there is a bathhouse there, but the bathhouse is about 125 yards away from the nearest road, and there is some storage capacity there, but it is low and wet, and things rust when left there and is not a good storage spot at all. He said the idea is to try to build some facilities on top of the hill where the things put into storage would not be compromised.

Mr. Clayborne asked if they would eventually have some images available of the proposed pavilion or storage shelter to share with the neighbors trying to address the concern about visualization and screening.

Mr. Barnett responded that the back of the storage shelter would be covered and would be done in wood. He said the pavilion would be well hidden from the neighbors by the trees that will be put up. He said it would be in the middle of a dense set of trees as it is. He said they had not conceptualized anything yet, but he is imagining it would look a lot like the one that is already there.

Mr. Bivins asked if there were public comments.

Ms. Shaffer said there was no one from the public signed up and no hands raised.

Mr. Bivins asked Mr. Barnett before closing and deliberating among themselves if he had anything else he wanted to share.

Mr. Bivins said that Mr. Barnett had mentioned that if they could go to 11:00, that would be helpful, and he wondered if that change from 12:00 to 11:00 was being asked for.

Mr. Barnett said he did not want to do anything to complicate this and that he was happy to leave it at 12:00.

Mr. Bivins brought the meeting back to the Commissioners.

Mr. Keller asked Mr. Clark if on the original condition, he remembered some relationship between the lot that was going to have the new house built on that has not been built on and something about there being someone on the property at all hours to deal with issues. He said he had some vague memory of that but cannot find it in the packet.

Mr. Clark said they had definitely discussed that, and that was part of the justification for the recommendation of approval—there was a safety factor to be had by having somebody living on the site and keeping out trespassers or random visitors driving by when the facility is closed—but there is not a condition requiring that the resident of that parcel or the owner of that parcel be the operator of the pool facility.

Ms. More added that it seemed to her that the types of things that would occur in those extra months and weeks that are being asked for do not seem quite as intense as what would happen in the months that there is a full-on camp. She said when one is talking about bringing out schools and having that type of interaction, it does not seem as intense, so she supports the idea of expanding the amount of time to have those things happen. She said she had not asked the applicant because she did not think they wanted to get into having restrictions on how many people can be there in April and that type of thing. She said in response to the noise issue, it did not strike her as something that would be as intense as what is already happening there during the summer months and so that is not really a change; it is just adding the extra time in on the front of the summer and then into the fall a little bit.

Ms. More said she thought there was probably something valid that Mr. Barnett was saying about having the property in use more months out of the year because she would imagine it is a lot to maintain, and having people out there helps with that because it is an historic resource, and it is very fortunate that there is a use like this to keep it valuable and make it worth it to someone to have the money generated by the camp and other things to keep a property like that in the condition it is in.

Ms. Firehock said Ms. More had reminded her about another thing she had wanted to ask about. She said Ms. More was commenting on the fact that it is helpful to generate revenue to be able to keep the site going, especially as an historic resource. She wondered what the revenue generation is of having field trips from the local schools. She wondered if they would pay to come out and use the facility for this environmental education activity. She said a number of the neighbors in their public comments, and one person in particular, had said there were lots of other parks around the area.

Mr. Bivins asked if Ms. Firehock was asking the Commissioners to place an exception to hear from the applicant.

Ms. Firehock said she was.

Mr. Barnett replied that he did not know. He said that he was thinking mostly about getting young people from the City to have opportunities that they would not have otherwise, and he was more



thinking about trying to get grants to fund that sort of thing. He said if he did have groups that paid, he imagined them being small, perhaps a group that came out from a given school that might need a day with the student council to do something that is leadership oriented that would give them some drive about the school year.

Mr. Barnett said the main thing that he does that creates revenue there is the camp, which creates about 98% of the revenue to cover the place, and otherwise he would like to use the place in the off-season to do good work, and it seems like a good space to do that sort of thing. He said he has worked hard to have a good relationship with the neighbors out there. He said he has tried to keep their numbers down. He said there were concerns initially about people wandering into neighboring properties, and it is so far from a legitimate concern. He said the idea is to make the place available to people in a generous and community-oriented way.

Mr. Randolph said his only comment is following up with Ms. Firehock's point to say he would feel a little bit more confident given the fact that six of the eight people who wrote comments expressing concerns about the plan cited noise as a major reason for their concern. He said he would feel more comfortable if instead of April 15<sup>th</sup> when leaves on trees oftentimes are not fully developed (his red oak just basically leafed out this past weekend while they were away), he would feel more comfortable May 1<sup>st</sup> to November 1<sup>st</sup> because leaves, depending on which deciduous trees one is talking about, are already dropping by the third week in October, and therefore there would be a little more margin of protection on noise for area residents. He said that is not a deal killer for him; it is just something that might be a little more considerate and perhaps more realistic in terms of the noise that is generated on site.

Ms. Firehock commented that Mr. Randolph had taken the words right out of her mouth; that is where she was going with her comments about the fact that trees attenuate a great deal of noise and so limiting that window to when it is both more pleasant to be outside and could be quieter for the neighbors would be acceptable, to her at least. She said it is not a big change but is enough of a change that there would be more screening and quietude that the neighbors are seeking while also being a nice time to be out there.

Mr. Bivins said he understood the piece about leafing now, but he would mention that they probably all saw that day that NOAA has decided to redo the average weather charts and that they are saying a couple of things that are going to happen—it is going to get warmer faster so there will be warmer springs, which may in fact bring leaves out on the trees sooner, and there are going to be more storms, particularly on the East Coast, than previously, particularly in the summertime. He said he was not quite sure whether or not one might see leaf out perhaps much earlier than seen at present. He said he was concerned that if someone should sell their home tomorrow, they were burdening a piece of property based on an opinion that was made at present when the person may not be there in the future.

Mr. Bivins noted that the programming that is being suggested is not one where children would run wild in the woods; there would be voices like heard in many other places. Mr. Bivins said his property is contiguous to the Ivy Creek nature area, and not only does he hear people, but there are people wandering into their backyards lost because they are not used to being in the woods. He said this is part of what they have talked about, about how to take activities that are really good activities and hopefully have a blend in to the neighbors that are contiguous around them, and hopefully the applicant knows that if he does something that is out of line, there will be complaints that he would have to deal with. Mr. Bivins said he is hoping that the Commission can give the applicant and community the benefit of the doubt. He said he was also suggesting that

they modify the pool opening to 11:00 and give this project an opportunity to expand to live into the space.

Ms. More agreed with Mr. Bivins.

Ms. Firehock said she was trying to figure out where in the staff conditions the 11:00 time fit in.

Mr. Bivins replied that it was on Page 6, #3.

Mr. Herrick said by way of order, he would encourage the Commissioners to make separate motions for each of the two applications. He said the time of the pool opening is a condition of the swim club. He said to the extent there is interest in modifying the condition about the dates of operation of the camp, that is exclusive to the camp SP.

Ms. Firehock moved to recommend approval of SP201900014 Blue Ridge Swim Club Amendment with the conditions outlined in the staff report with a change to condition #3 that the swim activities be allowed to begin as early as 11 a.m.

Mr. Clayborne seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7:0).

Ms. Firehock moved to recommend approval of SP201900015 Blue Ridge Swim Club and Field Camp Amendment with the conditions outlined in the staff report with one exception to condition #2 to require that the season begin on May 1<sup>st</sup> and end on October 31<sup>st</sup>.

Mr. Randolph seconded the motion.

Mr. Bivins asked for discussion.

Mr. Bailey said he wanted to raise as a point of discussion that this extended period is to target school field trips. He noted that the change to May 1st is a very compressed timeline when school is usually wrapping up the first week of June of three weeks to make available. He said he did not know if there was any consideration, bringing back to what Mr. Bivins had said about the increasing temperature, of thinking about splitting the difference and increasing to the mid of April. He said he did not have the background on trees and leafing out that his colleagues do but was just thinking about the fact that school age would take some planning, and in trying to make this resource available, there might be a midpoint compromise there, on maybe not April 1<sup>st</sup>, but providing a week or two extra at the end of April that might allow for a bit more use for the targeted program of making environmental education available to schools in the area.

Mr. Bailey said rather than a friendly amendment, he was asking for consideration of it by the Commissioner whose district it is.

Ms. Firehock said she was trying to attenuate the noise, and she also had written curriculums for grades one through 12 and done a lot of field trips in this area with schools where she has led the field trip out into the woods, and she has had disastrous field trips on April 15<sup>th</sup> where it snowed. She said a lot of those outdoor field trips do tend to be at the end of the semester, and it was not as common to take field trips outside at that time of year to study nature. She said she would prefer the applicant come back and make a compelling case for expanding the season again. She said that the request to have these field trips is an idea and has not been fully fleshed out; there

is no program developed yet, and no grants had been raised, unlike other applications where there is a specific curriculum that was trying to be met.

Mr. Bailey said he appreciated the comments and insight and would refrain from making a friendly amendment at this time.

Ms. More said she did not recall the applicants ever having an opportunity to explain why those particular dates were picked, so she would add that to the conversation with the idea of having to come back. She said she did not support changing the proposed dates but does appreciate why Ms. Firehock was suggesting that.

Mr. Keller said that in the discussion, it seemed that there were a number of Commissioners who were not inclined towards the shorter timeframe. He said at this point if there was not a friendly amendment, that meant that they would need to vote against this to see if that were the case, but then it would seem like by voting against it, they were against this item that they are not against. He asked if counsel had a suggestion on how to proceed.

Mr. Bivins said that they had a motion before them, and if the motion as crafted failed, they would have an opportunity to go to another motion.

Mr. Herrick said as a matter of procedure, if any member wanted to make a motion to amend the motion that is currently pending to revert condition #2 to the dates originally proposed, there could be a motion, a second, and a vote on a motion to amend the main motion, and procedurally that would be in order if any Commissioner wanted to make that motion.

Ms. More expressed concern about the motion passing where she is a “no,” though in the minutes it would explain why she was a “no,” but to Mr. Keller’s point, she is not saying no to the idea but to the change.

Ms. More moved to amend the main motion currently pending to revert condition #2 to the dates originally proposed.

Mr. Clayborne seconded the motion, which carried 5:2 (with Mr. Randolph and Ms. Firehock dissenting).

Mr. Herrick stated the motion on the floor is to recommend approval of SP201900015 Blue Ridge Swim Club and Field Camp Amendment with the conditions recommended by staff including the recommended condition that the season be between April 1<sup>st</sup> and November 15<sup>th</sup> inclusive. The motion was approved by a vote of 5:2 (Mr. Randolph and Ms. Firehock dissenting).

Mr. Bivins addressed the applicant and told him that after hearing the discussion, he would be moving forward to the Supervisors for their review and ultimate disposition of this request. Mr. Bivins said that one of the things Mr. Barnett may have heard was hope that he would be engaged with the neighbors on how to structure the shed and how it would look from the properties and also that he be able to speak about how the summers and school sessions would be conducted to be able to be sensitive to and be able to respond to the concerns around sound.

### **Items for Follow-Up**

There were no items.

Before adjourning, Mr. Bivins encouraged everyone to continue wearing masks and to enjoy themselves outside.

### **Adjournment**

At 8:50 p.m., the Commission adjourned to May 11, 2021, Albemarle County Planning Commission meeting, 6:00 p.m. via electronic meeting.



---

Charles Rapp, Director of Planning

(Recorded and transcribed by Carolyn S. Shaffer, Clerk to Planning Commission & Planning Boards and transcribed by Golden Transcription Services)

Approved by Planning Commission
Date: 06/07/2021
Initials: CSS