

ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2020 THIRD QUARTER CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REPORT

Community Development Department Information Services Division 401 McIntire Road Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596 (434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA Single-Family Attached
SF/TH Single-Family Townhouse
SFC Single-Family Condominium

DUP Duplex MF Multi-Family

MHC Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)

AA Accessory Apartment


During the third quarter of 2020, 158 certificates of occupancy were issued for 183 dwelling units. There was one permit issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500/unit, for a total of \$2,500. There were no certificates of occupancy issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	20	12	20)13	20)14	20)15	20)16	20)17	20)18	20)19	20	20	2020
Quarter	Dev	Rural	Totals																
1st Quarter	95	10	104	10	38	20	57	30	56	22	92	37	187	31	102	19	165	24	189
2nd Quarter	108	21	260	22	72	28	102	41	71	33	110	22	119	44	118	29	349	29	378
3rd Quarter	215	22	81	17	77	20	69	26	89	30	117	16	228	34	161	35	152	31	183
4th Quarter	258	23	55	29	70	50	58	25	93	23	164	35	188	39	171	30	0	0	0
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	676	76	500	78	257	118	286	122	309	108	483	110	722	148	552	113	666	84	750
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	7:	52	5	78	3	75	4	08	4	17	5	93	8	70	6	65	7	50	

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



□SF Unit ■Other Units

^{*} Year-to-date total only

3rd Quarter 2020

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	SF	SFA	DV SF/TH	WELLING SFC	UNIT TYP	E MF	MHC	AA	TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
RIO JACK JOUETT RIVANNA SAMUEL MILLER SCOTTSVILLE WHITE HALL	5 2 11 14 18 34	0 0 0 0 0	22 10 6 0 11 21	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 27 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	27 12 44 14 29 57	15% 7% 24% 8% 16% 31%
TOTAL	84	2	70	0	0	27	0	0	183	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA				TOTAL	% TOTAL					
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA	UNITS	UNITS
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	6%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	5%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	11	6%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	4%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	14	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	43	23%
ODOZET COMMUNITY	00		0.4	0	0	0	0	0	45	050/
CROZET COMMUNITY HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	22 1	2 0	21 0	0	0	0 27	0	0	45 28	25% 15%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	1	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	17	9%
		_								
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	24	2	37	0	0	27	0	0	90	49%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	19	10%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	19	10%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	53	2	70	0	0	27	0	0	152	83%
DEVELOTIMENT ANCER CODTOTAL	00	<u> </u>	70	U	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Ŭ	102	0070
RURAL AREA 1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	7%
RURAL AREA 2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3%
RURAL AREA 3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4%
RURAL AREA 4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	17%
										l
TOTAL	84	2	70	0	0	27	0	0	183	100%

3rd Quarter 2020

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL				TOTAL	% TOTAL					
DISTRICT	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA	UNITS	UNITS
Agnor-Hurt	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	5%
Baker Butler	6	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	22	12%
Broadus Wood	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
Brownsville	18	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	27	15%
Cale	9	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	16	9%
Crozet	11	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	25	14%
Greer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	27	15%
Meriwether Lewis	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3%
Murray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Red Hill	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1%
Scottsville	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
Stone Robinson	17	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	27	15%
Stony Point	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2%
Woodbrook	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	5%
TOTAL	84	2	70	0	0	27	0	0	183	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT					*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.			**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.				UILDING R. COMM.	TOTAL			
	No.	No. Amount-\$		No. Amount-\$		No.	Amount-\$		No. Amount-\$		No.	No. Amount-S				
RIO	30	\$	4,850,896	3	\$	19,500	0	\$	-	1	\$	65,000	34	\$	4,935,396	
JOUETT	12	\$	2,890,893	3	\$	600,000	0	\$	-	1	\$	54,200	16	\$	3,545,093	
RIVANNA	18	\$	7,810,848	6	\$	115,718	3	\$	1,331,477	4	\$	470,000	31	\$	9,728,043	
S. MILLER	14	\$	8,312,000	8	\$	510,641	0	\$	-	0	\$	-	22	\$	8,822,641	
SCOTTSVILLE	29	\$	4,335,757	1	\$	45,995	0	\$	-	1	\$	700,000	31	\$	5,081,752	
WHITE HALL	57	\$	16,700,488	5	\$	395,000	0	\$	-	1	\$	40,000	63	\$	17,135,488	
TOTAL	160	\$	44,900,882	26	\$	1,686,854	3	\$	1,331,477	8	\$	1,329,200	197	\$	49,248,413	

^{*} Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

^{*} Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

^{*} Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

^{**} Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.