



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2020 FIRST QUARTER BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During the 1st quarter of 2020, 141 building permits were issued for 464 dwelling units. There was 1 permit issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$2,500. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2020 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	70	25	102	26	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	487	37	512	18	430	28	458
2nd Quarter	310	25	110	37	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35	265	40	166	32	0	0	0
3rd Quarter	47	28	71	41	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42	76	29	190	47	0	0	0
4th Quarter	50	33	55	26	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46	99	42	132	37	0	0	0
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	477	111	338	130	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	927	148	1000	134	430	28	458
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	588		468		475		514		856		851		1075		1134		458		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



2020 Year to Date

1st Quarter 2020

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA	
RIO	5	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	18
JACK JOUETT	4	0	0	0	0	160	0	0	164
RIVANNA	8	0	10	0	0	169	0	0	187
SAMUEL MILLER	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	14
SCOTTSVILLE	18	0	13	0	0	0	1	0	32
WHITE HALL	31	2	16	0	0	0	0	0	49
TOTAL	78	2	50	0	0	329	3	2	464

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE							
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	0	0	0	160	0	0
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	4	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	14	0	15	0	0	160	1	0
CROZET COMMUNITY	20	2	16	0	0	0	0	0
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0	0	169	0	0
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	2
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	20	2	27	0	0	169	0	2
RIVANNA VILLAGE	13	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	13	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	47	2	50	0	0	329	1	2
RURAL AREA 1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RURAL AREA 2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RURAL AREA 3	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
RURAL AREA 4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	31	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
TOTAL	78	2	50	0	0	329	3	2

% TOTAL UNITS
4%
35%
40%
3%
7%
11%
100%

TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
160	34%
6	1%
14	3%
5	1%
5	1%
0	0%
0	0%
190	41%
38	8%
169	36%
13	3%
220	47%
21	5%
21	5%
0	0%
0	0%
431	93%
11	2%
4	1%
12	3%
6	1%
33	7%
464	100%

1st Quarter 2020

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	2%
Baker Butler	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	2%
Broadus Wood	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1%
Brownsville	14	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	18	4%
Cale	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	11	2%
Crozet	12	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	26	6%
Greer	0	0	0	0	0	160	0	0	160	34%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	169	0	0	169	36%
Meriwether Lewis	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2%
Murray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Red Hill	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	1%
Scottsville	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%
Stone Robinson	20	0	18	0	0	0	1	0	39	8%
Stony Point	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Woodbrook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	78	2	50	0	0	329	3	2	464	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	17	\$ 2,340,000	28	\$ 969,459	1	\$ 800,000	28	\$ 12,079,064	74	\$ 16,188,522
JOUETT	4	\$ 5,895,786	11	\$ 984,164	2	\$ 18,380,400	7	\$ 820,692	24	\$ 26,081,042
RIVANNA	23	\$ 28,871,278	46	\$ 2,197,092	5	\$ 56,052,032	18	\$ 940,452	92	\$ 88,060,854
S. MILLER	14	\$ 7,128,000	62	\$ 3,957,046	3	\$ 884,000	9	\$ 1,055,520	88	\$ 13,024,566
SCOTTSVILLE	32	\$ 5,827,128	25	\$ 1,003,670	4	\$ 1,619,700	12	\$ 8,505,178	73	\$ 16,955,675
WHITE HALL	49	\$ 14,105,822	47	\$ 1,499,365	2	\$ 77,000	12	\$ 518,870	110	\$ 16,201,057
TOTAL	139	\$ 64,168,014	219	\$ 10,610,795	17	\$ 77,813,132	86	\$ 23,919,775	461	\$ 176,511,716

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.