

ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2018 YEAR END BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During 2018, 661 building permits were issued for 1,075 dwelling units. There were 5 permits issued for mobile homes in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$12,500. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2018 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	91	24	372	26	70	25	102	26	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	487	37	524
2nd Quarter	65	27	58	29	310	25	110	37	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35	265	40	305
3rd Quarter	358	23	82	37	47	28	71	41	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42	76	29	105
4th Quarter	29	33	62	28	50	33	55	26	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46	99	42	141
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	543	107	574	120	477	111	338	130	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	927	148	1075
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	650		694		588		468		475		514		856		851		1075		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



Year End 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	58	7	72	0	0	0	0	3	140	13%
JACK JOUETT	7	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	16	1%
RIVANNA	55	14	37	0	0	0	1	7	114	11%
SAMUEL MILLER	116	0	20	0	0	96	2	1	235	22%
SCOTTSVILLE	44	0	37	0	0	0	5	0	86	8%
WHITE HALL	110	27	19	0	0	316	3	9	484	45%
TOTAL	390	48	192	0	2	412	11	20	1075	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	35	7	12	0	0	0	0	1	55	5%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	37	14	37	0	0	0	0	7	95	9%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	2	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	30	3%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	57	0	17	0	0	96	0	0	170	16%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	0%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	132	21	107	0	2	96	0	9	367	34%
CROZET COMMUNITY	76	27	19	0	0	316	0	7	445	41%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	1%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	20	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	67	6%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	99	27	73	0	0	316	0	8	523	49%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	28	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	37	3%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	28	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	37	3%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	259	48	189	0	2	412	0	17	927	86%
RURAL AREA 1	28	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	35	3%
RURAL AREA 2	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	17	2%
RURAL AREA 3	51	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	55	5%
RURAL AREA 4	36	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	41	4%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	131	0	3	0	0	0	11	3	148	14%
TOTAL	390	48	192	0	2	412	11	20	1075	100%

Year End 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	34	7	12	0	0	0	0	1	54	5%
Baker Butler	27	0	54	0	0	0	0	1	82	8%
Broadus Wood	17	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	21	2%
Brownsville	65	21	19	0	0	190	0	7	302	28%
Cale	67	0	45	0	0	96	0	0	208	19%
Crozet	31	6	0	0	0	126	0	0	163	15%
Greer	2	0	8	0	2	0	0	0	12	1%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Meriwether Lewis	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	2%
Murray	10	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	15	1%
Red Hill	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	29	3%
Scottsville	28	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	31	3%
Stone Robinson	60	14	46	0	0	0	3	7	130	12%
Stony Point	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Woodbrook	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Yancey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	390	48	192	0	2	412	11	20	1075	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type


MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	138	\$ 20,728,490	119	\$ 2,961,679	13	\$ 1,986,500	112	\$ 6,219,467	382	\$ 31,896,136
JOUETT	15	\$ 13,545,000	62	\$ 5,538,101	0	\$ 87,895	27	\$ 3,753,037	104	\$ 22,924,033
RIVANNA	107	\$ 27,729,451	202	\$ 10,661,149	12	\$ 10,150,118	86	\$ 12,622,144	407	\$ 61,162,862
S. MILLER	143	\$ 58,905,408	209	\$ 16,356,140	2	\$ 65,000	50	\$ 13,056,050	404	\$ 88,382,598
SCOTTSVILLE	86	\$ 20,786,168	97	\$ 7,106,059	5	\$ 3,434,820	58	\$ 4,858,634	246	\$ 36,185,680
WHITE HALL	172	\$ 82,429,655	201	\$ 9,309,884	14	\$ 5,008,230	66	\$ 10,559,935	453	\$ 107,307,704
TOTAL	661	\$ 224,124,172	890	\$ 51,933,012	46	\$ 20,732,563	399	\$ 51,069,266	1,996	\$ 347,859,013

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.



Day	Miles	Kilometers
Monday	1	1
Tuesday	2	2
Wednesday	3	3
Thursday	4	4
Friday	5	5
Saturday	6	6
Sunday	7	7

County of
Albemarle
Virginia

 < \$200,000
 \$200,000 to \$299,999
 \$300,000 to \$399,999
 \$400,000 to \$499,999
 > \$499,999
 Not available