



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

1601 Orange Road
Culpeper, Virginia 22701

Charles A. Kilpatrick, P.E.
Commissioner

November 6, 2017

Terra Engineering
2374 Stuarts Draft Highway
Stuarts Draft, VA 24477
Attn: Steve Driver

Re: City Church Access Management Exception Approval

Dear Mr. Driver:

The Department of Transportation, Charlottesville Residency Transportation and Land Use Section, has reviewed the above referenced AM-E request.

Please include this approval letter on the final site plan. If you have any questions you may contact me at (434)422-9782.

A VDOT Land Use Permit will be required prior to any work within the right-of-way. The owner/developer must contact the Charlottesville Residency Transportation and Land Use Section at (434) 422-9399 for information pertaining to this process.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Adam J. Moore".

Adam J. Moore, P.E.
Area Land Use Engineer
Charlottesville Residency

ACCESS MANAGEMENT EXCEPTION REQUEST: AM-E
ACCESS MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS 24 VAC 30-73
SECTION 120

Submitted by:		Date:	
Email Address:		Phone:	
Address:			
Project Name:	Rte #	Locality:	
Description of Project:			
VDOT District:		Area Land Use Engineer:	

NOTES:

- (1). Submit this form and any attachments to one of the District's Area Land Use Engineers.
- (2). See Section 120 of the Regulations for details on the requirements, exceptions, and exception request review process.
- (3). Attach additional information as necessary to justify the exception request(s).
- (4). If a traffic engineering study is required, the decision on the request will be based on VDOT engineering judgment.
- (5). Use the LD-440 Design Exception or the LD-448 Design Waiver forms for *design and engineering standards*, e.g. radius, grade, sight distance. See [IIM-LD-227](#) on VDOT web site for additional instructions.

Select the Exception(s) Being Requested

☐ **Exception to the shared commercial entrance requirement.** (Access M. Regulations Section 120 C.2)

Reason for exception:

☐ **A. An agreement to share the entrance could not be reached with adjoining property owner.**

☐ **Attached:** Written evidence that adjoining property owner will not share the entrance.

☐ **B. Physical constraints: topography, adjacent hazardous land use, stream, wetland, other.**

☐ **Specify constraint:**

☐ **Attached:** Documentation of constraint such as aerial photo or topographic map.

☐ **Exception to the vehicular connection to adjoining undeveloped property requirement.** (Section 120 C.4)

Reason for exception:

☐ **A. Physical constraints: topography, adjacent hazardous land use, stream, wetland, other.**

☐ **Specify constraint:**

☐ **Attached:** Documentation of constraint such as aerial photo or topographic map.

☐ **B. Other reason:**

☐ **Exception to the commercial entrance shall not be located within the functional area of an intersection requirement.** (See Regulation Section 120 C. 1; Appendix F, Rd Design Manual)

☐ **Attached:** A traffic engineering study documenting that the operation of the intersection and public safety will not be adversely impacted.

☐ **EXCEPTION TO THE SPACING STANDARDS FOR:**

- **Commercial entrances; intersections/median crossovers (Table 2-2);**
- **Commercial entrances/intersections near interchange ramps (Tables 2-3, 2-4); or**
- **Corner clearance (Figure 4-4).** Appendix F, Road Design Manual

Information on the Exception Request

☐ **ON A STATE HIGHWAY**

Functional classification: Principal Arterial: ☐ Minor Arterial: ☐ Collector: ☐ Local: ☐

Posted speed limit: _____ mph

☐ **NEAR AN INTERCHANGE RAMP** (Submittal of a traffic engineering study required)

☐ **CORNER CLEARANCE** (Submittal of a traffic engineering study required)

Type of intersection/entrance: Signalized ☐ Unsignalized ☐ Full Access ☐ Partial Access ☐

Required spacing distance _____ ft

Proposed spacing distance _____ ft

Requested exception: Reduction in required spacing _____ ft

REASON FOR EXCEPTION:

☐ **A. To be located on an older, established business corridor along a highway where existing spacing did not meet the standards prior to 7/1/08 or 10/14/09.** (Regulation Section 120 C.3.c)

☐ **Attached:** Dated aerial photo of corridor identifying proposed entrance/intersection location.

☐ **B. Not enough property frontage to meet spacing standard, but the applicant does not want a partial access right-in/right-out entrance.** (Section 120 C.3.f)

☐ **Attached:** A traffic engineering study documenting that left turn movements at the entrance will not have a negative impact on highway operation or safety.

☐ **C. To be located within a new urbanism mixed use type development.** (Section 120 C.3.d)

☐ **Attached:** The design of the development and compliance with intersection sight distance.

☐ **D. The proposed entrance meets the signal warrants but does not meet the signalized intersection spacing standard. The applicant requests an exception to the spacing standard.**

☐ **Attached:** A traffic engineering study that (i) evaluates the location's suitability for a roundabout and (ii) provides documentation that the proposed signal will not impact safety and traffic flow. (Section 120 C.5)

- ☐ E. The development's 2nd (or additional) entrance does not meet the spacing standards but is necessary for the streets to be accepted into the secondary system. (Section 120 C.3.e)
- ☐ Attached: Information on the development that identifies the location of entrances.
- ☐ F. To be located within the limits of a VDOT and locality approved access management corridor plan.
- ☐ Attached: Aerial photo of corridor identifying proposed entrance/intersection location. (Sect 120 C.3.b)

FOR VDOT USE ONLY


Recommendation on Exception Request: Approve <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Deny <input type="checkbox"/>	Date: 10/30/17
Area Land Use Engineer or: Adam J. Moore, P.E.		Name: Adam J. Moore	
Remarks: Approval for this exception is recommended as the egress only entrance will help operations at the primary entrance during the time between Sunday services which is expected to be the entrances' peak time of use. The egress only nature will also mitigate safety risks by minimizing conflicting movements.			

Exception Request Action: Approved <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Denied <input type="checkbox"/>	Date: 10/30/17
District Administrator or Designee:		Name (and position if Designee):	
Remarks:			

District Staff: Please email copy to Bradley.Shelton@VDOT.Virginia.gov