



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2017 FOURTH QUARTER BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During the fourth quarter of 2017, 175 building permits were issued for 178 dwelling units. There were two permits issued for mobile homes in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$5,000. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2017 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	38	20	91	24	372	26	70	25	102	26	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	263
2nd Quarter	71	26	65	27	58	29	310	25	110	37	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35	254
3rd Quarter	50	30	358	23	82	37	47	28	71	41	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42	156
4th Quarter	91	13	29	33	62	28	50	33	55	26	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46	178
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	250	89	543	107	574	120	477	111	338	130	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	339		650		694		588		468		475		514		856		851		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



4th Quarter 2017

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	16	2	10	0	2	0	0	0	30	17%
JACK JOUETT	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5%
RIVANNA	24	5	21	0	0	0	1	2	53	30%
SAMUEL MILLER	39	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	41	23%
SCOTTSVILLE	7	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	15	8%
WHITE HALL	26	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	17%
TOTAL	114	10	48	0	2	0	2	2	178	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	14	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	19	11%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	16	5	21	0	0	0	0	2	44	25%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	4%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	20	11%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	4%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	49	7	40	0	0	0	0	2	98	55%
CROZET COMMUNITY	18	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	22	12%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	4%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	20	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	31	17%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	72	10	48	0	0	0	0	2	132	74%
RURAL AREA 1	9	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	11	6%
RURAL AREA 2	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4%
RURAL AREA 3	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	11%
RURAL AREA 4	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	42	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	46	26%
TOTAL	114	10	48	0	2	0	2	2	178	100%

4th Quarter 2017

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	13	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	10%
Baker Butler	4	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	11	6%
Broadus Wood	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	8	4%
Brownsville	19	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	13%
Cale	21	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	30	17%
Crozet	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3%
Greer	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	4%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Meriwether Lewis	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3%
Murray	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2%
Red Hill	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2%
Scottsville	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2%
Stone Robinson	23	5	21	0	0	0	1	2	52	29%
Stony Point	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
Woodbrook	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Yancey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	114	10	48	0	2	0	2	2	178	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	29	\$ 5,262,565	27	\$ 617,530	1	\$ 45,000	31	\$ 841,663	88	\$ 6,766,758
JOUETT	9	\$ 3,703,625	15	\$ 1,879,400	2	\$ 35,000	10	\$ 680,554	36	\$ 6,298,579
RIVANNA	51	\$ 11,929,060	52	\$ 2,615,111	0	\$ -	10	\$ 883,025	113	\$ 15,427,196
S. MILLER	41	\$ 21,541,186	27	\$ 2,684,285	4	\$ 2,701,000	8	\$ 2,842,888	80	\$ 29,769,359
SCOTTSVILLE	15	\$ 3,647,064	19	\$ 782,073	1	\$ 93,700	26	\$ 2,381,858	61	\$ 6,904,695
WHITE HALL	30	\$ 10,345,596	43	\$ 2,967,672	0	\$ -	16	\$ 748,419	89	\$ 14,061,687
TOTAL	175	\$ 56,429,096	183	\$ 11,546,072	8	\$ 2,874,700	101	\$ 8,378,407	467	\$ 79,228,275

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.