

## Albemarle County, VA <br> \section*{Comparisons by Demographic Subgroups}

Leaders at the Core of Better Communities

## Summary

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/ County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by age, sex, race/ ethnicity, length of residency and median household income.

Responses in the following tables show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who attended a public meeting more than once a month. ANOVA and chi-square tests of significance were applied to these comparisons of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a $5 \%$ probability that differences observed between subgroups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than $95 \%$ probability that the differences observed are "real." Where differences were statistically significant, they have been shaded grey.

The margin of error for this report is generally no greater than plus or minus five percentage points around any given percent reported for the entire sample ( 382 completed surveys). For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the sample size for the subgroup is smaller. For subgroups of approximately 100 respondents, the margin of error is plus or minus 10 percentage points.

Notable differences between demographic subgroups included the following:

- Across Community Characteristics, few significant differences emerged between the age, sex, race/ ethnicity and length of residency subgroups. When compared by household income, lower income residents tended to rate most aspects within Community Characteristics lower than their counterparts.
- Within Governance, respondents who had lived in the County 11 years or more tended to be less positive compared to those whose tenure was shorter. Residents who identified as white alone, not Hispanic were more pleased with government performance and services residents who identified as Hispanic and/ or other race.
- Generally, participation in the community increased with age and income, (e.g., volunteering, participating in a club, talking or visiting with and doing a favor for a neighbor).
- Residents who identified as female, Hispanic and/or other race, short lengths of residency (five years or less), or whose income was between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 99,999$ placed higher importance on Albemarle County services (e.g., recycling center, composting) and were more supportive of tax increases to pay for these services than their counterparts.
- When asked about sources of information about County activities, events and services, women and residents who were Hispanic and/ other race favored social media, residents with a longer tenure in the County favored other local media outlets and the youngest residents favored C-ville Weekly.

Table 1: Community Characteristics - General by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| The overall quality of life in Albemarle County | 86\% | 90\% | 90\% | 89\% | 89\% | 90\% | 84\% | 89\% |
| Overall image or reputation of Albemarle County | 73\% | 83\% | 84\% | 84\% | 79\% | 84\% | 65\% | 81\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to live | 88\% | 90\% | 92\% | 93\% | 88\% | 92\% | 85\% | 91\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 83\% | 93\% | 91\% | 91\% | 89\% | 89\% | 92\% | 90\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to raise children | 93\% | 96\% | 91\% | 93\% | 94\% | 94\% | 88\% | 93\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to retire | 83\% | 79\% | 79\% | 84\% | 76\% | 80\% | 77\% | 80\% |
| Overall appearance of Albemarle County | 94\% | 87\% | 84\% | 87\% | 89\% | 91\% | 74\% | 88\% |

Table 2: Community Characteristics - General by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| The overall quality of life in Albemarle County | 93\% | 94\% | 86\% | 72\% | 89\% | 96\% | 89\% |
| Overall image or reputation of Albemarle County | 86\% | 84\% | 77\% | 61\% | 82\% | 89\% | 81\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to live | 92\% | 94\% | 89\% | 75\% | 90\% | 97\% | 91\% |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 95\% | 94\% | 85\% | 75\% | 92\% | 94\% | 90\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to raise children | 93\% | 90\% | 94\% | 81\% | 95\% | 97\% | 93\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to retire | 85\% | 79\% | 78\% | 66\% | 78\% | 89\% | 80\% |
| Overall appearance of Albemarle County | 88\% | 90\% | 87\% | 89\% | 81\% | 92\% | 88\% |

Table 3: Community Characteristics - Safety by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Albemarle County | 92\% | 88\% | 87\% | 88\% | 89\% | 90\% | 79\% | 89\% |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 94\% | 99\% | 96\% | 95\% | 98\% | 97\% | 94\% | 97\% |

Table 4: Community Characteristics - Safety by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Albemarle County | 91\% | 83\% | 88\% | 74\% | 86\% | 96\% | 89\% |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 96\% | 100\% | 97\% | 89\% | 98\% | 99\% | 97\% |

Table 5: Community Characteristics - Mobility by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 65\% | 58\% | 64\% | 58\% | 67\% | 62\% | 61\% | 62\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 54\% | 42\% | 38\% | 41\% | 47\% | 40\% | 58\% | 43\% |
| Ease of public parking | 41\% | 46\% | 40\% | 40\% | 46\% | 39\% | 58\% | 42\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Albemarle County | 61\% | 58\% | 53\% | 56\% | 58\% | 56\% | 62\% | 57\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Albemarle County | 32\% | 18\% | 24\% | 22\% | 28\% | 21\% | 33\% | 24\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Albemarle County | 40\% | 21\% | 26\% | 24\% | 32\% | 24\% | 36\% | 27\% |
| Ease of walking in Albemarle County | 34\% | 35\% | 35\% | 32\% | 39\% | 34\% | 34\% | 35\% |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 73\% | 52\% | 59\% | 58\% | 61\% | 59\% | 65\% | 60\% |

Table 6: Community Characteristics - Mobility by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { years or } \\ & \text { less } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 67\% | 60\% | 58\% | 65\% | 49\% | 69\% | 62\% |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 54\% | 41\% | 37\% | 43\% | 39\% | 47\% | 43\% |
| Ease of public parking | 49\% | 37\% | 38\% | 31\% | 37\% | 50\% | 42\% |
| Ease of travel by car in Albemarle County | 64\% | 55\% | 52\% | 47\% | 50\% | 65\% | 57\% |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Albemarle County | 24\% | 23\% | 24\% | 30\% | 9\% | 29\% | 24\% |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Albemarle County | 36\% | 24\% | 24\% | 30\% | 23\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| Ease of walking in Albemarle County | 38\% | 35\% | 33\% | 28\% | 35\% | 37\% | 35\% |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 62\% | 57\% | 59\% | 72\% | 60\% | 54\% | 60\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Albemarle County | 90\% | 95\% | 87\% | 91\% | 91\% | 91\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| Air quality | 95\% | 88\% | 87\% | 89\% | 91\% | 91\% | 83\% | 90\% |
| Cleanliness of Albemarle County | 93\% | 80\% | 78\% | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% | 80\% | 83\% |

Table 8: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Albemarle County | 97\% | 90\% | 86\% | 83\% | 92\% | 95\% | 91\% |
| Air quality | 93\% | 91\% | 87\% | 88\% | 82\% | 96\% | 90\% |
| Cleanliness of Albemarle County | 87\% | 82\% | 81\% | 83\% | 73\% | 90\% | 83\% |

Table 9: Community Characteristics - Built Environment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall "built environment" of Albemarle County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 59\% | 60\% | 54\% | 56\% | 60\% | 57\% | 62\% | 58\% |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 75\% | 75\% | 77\% | 78\% | 74\% | 78\% | 64\% | 76\% |
| Variety of housing options | 53\% | 39\% | 40\% | 42\% | 45\% | 43\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 28\% | 22\% | 26\% | 20\% | 32\% | 22\% | 34\% | 26\% |
| Overall quality of new development in Albemarle County | 58\% | 55\% | 50\% | 60\% | 48\% | 58\% | 36\% | 54\% |

Table 10: Community Characteristics - Built Environment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Overall "built environment" of Albemarle County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 64\% | 59\% | 53\% | 46\% | 57\% | 63\% | 58\% |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 75\% | 85\% | 73\% | 68\% | 68\% | 84\% | 76\% |
| Variety of housing options | 49\% | 39\% | 39\% | 36\% | 31\% | 55\% | 44\% |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 29\% | 29\% | 22\% | 24\% | 6\% | 39\% | 26\% |
| Overall quality of new development in Albemarle County | 55\% | 65\% | 50\% | 45\% | 53\% | 59\% | 54\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall economic health of Albemarle County | 71\% | 73\% | 80\% | 72\% | 81\% | 77\% | 66\% | 76\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to work | 70\% | 72\% | 75\% | 76\% | 68\% | 77\% | 57\% | 73\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to visit | 78\% | 91\% | 93\% | 93\% | 84\% | 88\% | 93\% | 89\% |
| Employment opportunities | 59\% | 48\% | 56\% | 54\% | 55\% | 55\% | 48\% | 54\% |
| Shopping opportunities | 69\% | 69\% | 73\% | 66\% | 76\% | 76\% | 48\% | 71\% |
| Cost of living in Albemarle County | 20\% | 20\% | 32\% | 24\% | 26\% | 26\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Albemarle County | 80\% | 71\% | 71\% | 72\% | 76\% | 76\% | 59\% | 73\% |

Table 12: Community Characteristics - Economy by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Overall economic health of Albemarle County | 75\% | 80\% | 74\% | 57\% | 66\% | 90\% | 76\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to work | 70\% | 79\% | 73\% | 64\% | 74\% | 77\% | 73\% |
| Albemarle County as a place to visit | 88\% | 94\% | 89\% | 85\% | 89\% | 90\% | 89\% |
| Employment opportunities | 57\% | 56\% | 52\% | 46\% | 52\% | 61\% | 54\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Shopping opportunities | 69\% | 72\% | 72\% | 66\% | 63\% | 79\% | 71\% |
| Cost of living in Albemarle County | 26\% | 41\% | 20\% | 15\% | 10\% | 39\% | 25\% |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Albemarle County | 75\% | 80\% | 70\% | 67\% | 58\% | 87\% | 73\% |

Table 13: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Albemarle County | 82\% | 84\% | 88\% | 84\% | 88\% | 86\% | 79\% | 85\% |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 77\% | 77\% | 75\% | 77\% | 76\% | 78\% | 71\% | 77\% |
| Recreational opportunities | 72\% | 82\% | 73\% | 72\% | 81\% | 78\% | 67\% | 77\% |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 67\% | 77\% | 75\% | 69\% | 79\% | 74\% | 68\% | 74\% |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 71\% | 71\% | 76\% | 67\% | 82\% | 77\% | 58\% | 74\% |
| Availability of preventive health services | 80\% | 78\% | 76\% | 71\% | 87\% | 79\% | 70\% | 78\% |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 56\% | 58\% | 46\% | 49\% | 60\% | 54\% | 47\% | 53\% |

Table 14: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Albemarle County | 83\% | 87\% | 86\% | 77\% | 82\% | 89\% | 85\% |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 77\% | 73\% | 77\% | 67\% | 73\% | 83\% | 77\% |
| Recreational opportunities | 80\% | 74\% | 74\% | 67\% | 67\% | 87\% | 77\% |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 78\% | 70\% | 71\% | 58\% | 71\% | 83\% | 74\% |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 75\% | 77\% | 72\% | 67\% | 65\% | 82\% | 74\% |
| Availability of preventive health services | 83\% | 82\% | 74\% | 63\% | 70\% | 87\% | 78\% |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 60\% | 71\% | 45\% | 42\% | 43\% | 67\% | 53\% |

Table 15: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 85\% | 90\% | 91\% | 86\% | 93\% | 91\% | 79\% | 89\% |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 0\% | 47\% | 47\% | 33\% | 44\% | 40\% | 29\% | 38\% |
| Adult educational opportunities | 66\% | 78\% | 83\% | 77\% | 81\% | 82\% | 58\% | 79\% |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 85\% | 85\% | 84\% | 87\% | 82\% | 86\% | 77\% | 84\% |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 93\% | 83\% | 89\% | 88\% | 88\% | 89\% | 80\% | 88\% |

Table 16: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | Overall |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 91\% | 86\% | 88\% | 77\% | 90\% | 95\% | 89\% |
| Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool | 27\% | 52\% | 39\% | 26\% | 19\% | 51\% | 38\% |
| Adult educational opportunities | 79\% | 79\% | 78\% | 51\% | 74\% | 91\% | 79\% |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 87\% | 80\% | 84\% | 72\% | 87\% | 88\% | 84\% |
| Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities | 89\% | 79\% | 89\% | 83\% | 83\% | 92\% | 88\% |

Table 17: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 70\% | 72\% | 78\% | 74\% | 74\% | 80\% | 46\% | 74\% |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 72\% | 88\% | 88\% | 80\% | 88\% | 87\% | 71\% | 84\% |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 74\% | 79\% | 77\% | 76\% | 79\% | 79\% | 63\% | 77\% |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 70\% | 54\% | 65\% | 61\% | 63\% | 63\% | 57\% | 62\% |
| Neighborliness of residents in Albemarle County | 53\% | 67\% | 66\% | 60\% | 70\% | 64\% | 56\% | 64\% |

Table 18: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 11 or more years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 69\% | 82\% | 75\% | 51\% | 72\% | 83\% | 74\% |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 76\% | 91\% | 87\% | 80\% | 78\% | 89\% | 84\% |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 72\% | 90\% | 76\% | 74\% | 72\% | 80\% | 77\% |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 57\% | 65\% | 65\% | 51\% | 60\% | 66\% | 62\% |
| Neighborliness of residents in Albemarle County | 70\% | 63\% | 59\% | 47\% | 55\% | 75\% | 64\% |

Table 19: Governance - General by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Albemarle County | 82\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% | 81\% | 82\% | 73\% | 80\% |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Albemarle County | 46\% | 50\% | 53\% | 48\% | 52\% | 52\% | 42\% | 50\% |
| The overall direction that Albemarle County is taking | 53\% | 47\% | 47\% | 49\% | 47\% | 51\% | 36\% | 48\% |
| The job Albemarle County government does at welcoming citizen involvement | 54\% | 49\% | 53\% | 57\% | 46\% | 54\% | 38\% | 52\% |

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall confidence in Albemarle County government | 54\% | 45\% | 50\% | 52\% | 44\% | 52\% | 34\% | 49\% |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 56\% | 52\% | 51\% | 56\% | 48\% | 55\% | 43\% | 52\% |
| Being honest | 46\% | 57\% | 57\% | 57\% | 51\% | 60\% | 36\% | 54\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | 52\% | 53\% | 52\% | 54\% | 50\% | 56\% | 38\% | 52\% |
| Overall customer service by Albemarle County employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 72\% | 71\% | 76\% | 70\% | 77\% | 76\% | 62\% | 73\% |
| The Federal Government | 42\% | 39\% | 47\% | 43\% | 43\% | 42\% | 43\% | 43\% |
| State of Virginia | 59\% | 50\% | 60\% | 59\% | 52\% | 57\% | 50\% | 56\% |

Table 20: Governance - General by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 11 or more years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Albemarle County | 86\% | 79\% | 76\% | 69\% | 76\% | 87\% | 80\% |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Albemarle County | 47\% | 52\% | 51\% | 37\% | 45\% | 60\% | 50\% |
| The overall direction that Albemarle County is taking | 57\% | 54\% | 41\% | 29\% | 45\% | 59\% | 48\% |
| The job Albemarle County government does at welcoming citizen involvement | 53\% | 72\% | 45\% | 43\% | 53\% | 54\% | 52\% |
| Overall confidence in Albemarle County government | 51\% | 66\% | 43\% | 36\% | 47\% | 55\% | 49\% |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 56\% | 66\% | 47\% | 47\% | 51\% | 57\% | 52\% |
| Being honest | 53\% | 67\% | 53\% | 37\% | 57\% | 61\% | 54\% |
| Treating all residents fairly | 50\% | 67\% | 50\% | 46\% | 50\% | 57\% | 52\% |
| Overall customer service by Albemarle County employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 76\% | 75\% | 71\% | 57\% | 66\% | 86\% | 73\% |
| The Federal Government | 45\% | 52\% | 38\% | 36\% | 43\% | 44\% | 43\% |
| State of Virginia | 59\% | 66\% | 51\% | 57\% | 61\% | 53\% | 56\% |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {™ }}$

Table 21: Governance - Safety by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Police services | 90\% | 85\% | 89\% | 85\% | 92\% | 89\% | 82\% | 88\% |
| Fire services | 91\% | 93\% | 97\% | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% | 96\% | 94\% |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 92\% | 91\% | 95\% | 93\% | 94\% | 93\% | 94\% | 93\% |
| Crime prevention | 89\% | 70\% | 75\% | 74\% | 80\% | 79\% | 61\% | 76\% |
| Fire prevention and education | 96\% | 71\% | 82\% | 80\% | 84\% | 82\% | 75\% | 81\% |
| Animal control | 78\% | 69\% | 64\% | 70\% | 68\% | 70\% | 68\% | 69\% |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 90\% | 59\% | 69\% | 72\% | 72\% | 75\% | 53\% | 71\% |

Table 22: Governance - Safety by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Police services | 91\% | 83\% | 87\% | 82\% | 85\% | 92\% | 88\% |
| Fire services | 100\% | 86\% | 94\% | 87\% | 98\% | 96\% | 94\% |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 99\% | 82\% | 92\% | 89\% | 95\% | 94\% | 93\% |
| Crime prevention | 83\% | 64\% | 76\% | 65\% | 79\% | 80\% | 76\% |
| Fire prevention and education | 92\% | 65\% | 79\% | 78\% | 78\% | 84\% | 81\% |
| Animal control | 84\% | 54\% | 64\% | 59\% | 65\% | 77\% | 69\% |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 82\% | 71\% | 64\% | 59\% | 76\% | 75\% | 71\% |

Table 23: Governance - Mobility by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Traffic enforcement | 58\% | 50\% | 58\% | 56\% | 54\% | 55\% | 51\% | 55\% |
| Street lighting | 57\% | 49\% | 52\% | 52\% | 54\% | 52\% | 51\% | 53\% |
| Bus or transit services | 29\% | 35\% | 41\% | 37\% | 36\% | 41\% | 12\% | 37\% |

Table 24: Governance - Mobility by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Traffic enforcement | 64\% | 53\% | 50\% | 40\% | 53\% | 62\% | 55\% |
| Street lighting | 54\% | 42\% | 53\% | 40\% | 45\% | 62\% | 53\% |
| Bus or transit services | 31\% | 37\% | 39\% | 38\% | 30\% | 40\% | 37\% |

Table 25: Governance - Natural Environment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Garbage collection | 84\% | 78\% | 78\% | 78\% | 81\% | 81\% | 74\% | 80\% |
| Recycling | 54\% | 69\% | 65\% | 65\% | 62\% | 67\% | 54\% | 64\% |
| Drinking water | 66\% | 76\% | 75\% | 72\% | 75\% | 77\% | 61\% | 73\% |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | 65\% | 59\% | 63\% | 63\% | 62\% | 62\% | 66\% | 62\% |
| Albemarle County open space | 68\% | 65\% | 65\% | 64\% | 68\% | 65\% | 66\% | 66\% |

Table 26: Governance - Natural Environment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Garbage collection | 85\% | 87\% | 73\% | 72\% | 77\% | 84\% | 80\% |
| Recycling | 57\% | 71\% | 67\% | 58\% | 62\% | 68\% | 64\% |
| Drinking water | 69\% | 71\% | 77\% | 64\% | 70\% | 80\% | 73\% |
| Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts | 68\% | 72\% | 56\% | 50\% | 63\% | 66\% | 62\% |
| Albemarle County open space | 74\% | 75\% | 58\% | 48\% | 69\% | 70\% | 66\% |

Table 27: Governance - Built Environment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Storm drainage | 62\% | 63\% | 60\% | 58\% | 67\% | 63\% | 53\% | 61\% |
| Sewer services | 82\% | 79\% | 82\% | 82\% | 80\% | 80\% | 84\% | 81\% |
| Utility billing | 63\% | 63\% | 73\% | 63\% | 71\% | 70\% | 55\% | 67\% |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 59\% | 28\% | 42\% | 37\% | 46\% | 44\% | 26\% | 41\% |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 56\% | 41\% | 40\% | 43\% | 45\% | 41\% | 66\% | 43\% |

Table 28: Governance - Built Environment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Storm drainage | 65\% | 66\% | 57\% | 45\% | 54\% | 74\% | 61\% |
| Sewer services | 88\% | 77\% | 76\% | 64\% | 86\% | 87\% | 81\% |
| Utility billing | 64\% | 74\% | 66\% | 44\% | 63\% | 80\% | 67\% |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 46\% | 37\% | 38\% | 42\% | 36\% | 45\% | 41\% |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 52\% | 35\% | 40\% | 42\% | 36\% | 50\% | 43\% |

Table 29: Governance - Economy by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic |  | Hispanic and/or other race |  |  |
| Economic development | 63\% | 56\% | 47\% | 54\% | 56\% | 56\% |  | 49\% |  | 54\% |
| Table 30: Governance - Economy by Length of Residency and Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  |  |  | Household income |  |  |  | Overall |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years |  | 11 or more years |  | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 |  | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Economic development | 63\% | 70\% |  | 45\% |  | 39\% | 42\% |  | 70\% | 54\% |
| Table 31: Governance - Recreation and Wellness by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  | Overall |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic |  | Hispanic and/or other race |  |  |
| County parks | 87\% | 86\% | 86\% | 87\% | 88\% | 88\% |  |  | 76\% | 87\% |
| Recreation programs or classes | 83\% | 74\% | 80\% | 84\% | 70\% | 79\% |  |  | 72\% | 78\% |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 89\% | 73\% | 74\% | 75\% | 77\% | 78\% |  |  | 67\% | 76\% |
| Health services | 75\% | 75\% 85\% |  | 75\% | 86\% | 81\% |  |  | 70\% | 79\% |

Table 32: Governance - Recreation and Wellness by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| County parks | 90\% | 83\% | 85\% | 87\% | 84\% | 89\% | 87\% |
| Recreation programs or classes | 78\% | 73\% | 79\% | 65\% | 77\% | 84\% | 78\% |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 74\% | 66\% | 80\% | 75\% | 77\% | 77\% | 76\% |
| Health services | 80\% | 84\% | 78\% | 70\% | 73\% | 87\% | 79\% |

Table 33: Governance - Education and Enrichment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Public library services | 97\% | 88\% | 92\% | 94\% | 89\% | 92\% | 89\% | 92\% |
| County-sponsored special events | 53\% | 65\% | 65\% | 59\% | 68\% | 64\% | 44\% | 63\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Public library services | 95\% | 85\% | 91\% | 89\% | 96\% | 90\% | 92\% |
| County-sponsored special events | 64\% | 68\% | 61\% | 46\% | 55\% | 76\% | 63\% |

Table 35: Governance - Community Engagement by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic |  |  |  | Hispanic and/or other race |  |  |
| Public information services | 81\% | 72\% | 75\% | 77\% | 73\% | 77\% |  |  |  | 67\% |  | 75\% |
| Table 36: Governance - Community Engagement by Length of Residency and Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good) | Length of residency |  |  |  |  | Household income |  |  |  |  |  | Overall |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years |  | 11 or more years |  | Less than \$49,999 |  |  | \$50,000 to \$99,999 |  | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Public information services | 79\% | 82\% |  | 71\% |  | 65\% |  |  | 81\% |  | 77\% | 75\% |
| Table 37: Participation General by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) |  |  | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  | Overall |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic |  |  | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Sense of community |  |  | 69\% | 61\% | 62\% | 66\% | 60\% |  | 66\% |  | 56\% | 63\% |
| Recommend living in Albemarle County to someone who asks |  |  | 79\% | 88\% | 92\% | 88\% | 86\% |  | 88\% |  | 85\% | 87\% |
| Remain in Albemarle County for the next five years |  |  | 78\% | 87\% | 93\% | 87\% | 87\% |  | 88\% |  | 84\% | 87\% |
| Contacted Albemarle County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information |  |  | 23\% | 44\% | 40\% | 42\% | 32\% |  | 43\% |  | 13\% | 37\% |

Table 38: Participation General by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Sense of community | 68\% | 65\% | 60\% | 51\% | 59\% | 70\% | 63\% |
| Recommend living in Albemarle County to someone who asks | 90\% | 90\% | 84\% | 76\% | 86\% | 92\% | 87\% |
| Remain in Albemarle County for the next five years | 84\% | 89\% | 89\% | 84\% | 84\% | 90\% | 87\% |
| Contacted Albemarle County (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information | 29\% | 50\% | 41\% | 23\% | 36\% | 43\% | 37\% |

Table 39: Participation - Safety by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Was NOT the victim of a crime | 98\% | 96\% | 92\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% | 92\% | 95\% |
| Did NOT report a crime | 93\% | 85\% | 87\% | 87\% | 89\% | 87\% | 91\% | 88\% |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 36\% | 33\% | 36\% | 36\% | 33\% | 35\% | 37\% | 35\% |

Table 40: Participation - Safety by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 11 or more years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Was NOT the victim of a crime | 96\% | 89\% | 95\% | 94\% | 97\% | 96\% | 95\% |
| Did NOT report a crime | 95\% | 76\% | 85\% | 87\% | 88\% | 89\% | 88\% |
| Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency | 27\% | 38\% | 39\% | 51\% | 29\% | 31\% | 35\% |

Table 41: Participation - Mobility by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month,
yes)
Walked or biked instead of driving
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone
Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| $18-34$ | $35-54$ | $55+$ | Female | Male | White alone, not <br> Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other <br> race |  |
| $43 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | Overall |
| $35 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Table 42: Participation - Mobility by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { years or } \\ & \text { less } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than $\$ 49,999$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 46\% | 40\% | 40\% | 47\% | 44\% | 39\% | 42\% |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 43\% | 52\% | 36\% | 29\% | 44\% | 43\% | 41\% |
| Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving | 20\% | 6\% | 15\% | 22\% | 13\% | 16\% | 16\% |

Table 43: Participation - Natural Environment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | Overall |
| Recycle at home | 75\% | 75\% | 77\% | 79\% | 72\% | 77\% | 73\% | 76\% |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 52\% | 74\% | 71\% | 65\% | 70\% | 69\% | 59\% | 68\% |
| Made efforts to conserve water | 75\% | 79\% | 87\% | 83\% | 79\% | 82\% | 75\% | 81\% |

Table 44: Participation - Natural Environment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Recycle at home | 68\% | 83\% | 79\% | 66\% | 81\% | 75\% | 76\% |
| Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient | 66\% | 81\% | 66\% | 56\% | 68\% | 71\% | 68\% |
| Made efforts to conserve water | 78\% | 85\% | 82\% | 75\% | 81\% | 83\% | 81\% |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 45: Participation - Built Environment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month,
yes)
NOT under housing cost stress
Did NOT observe a code violation

|  | Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | $35-54$ |  |
| $82 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |

Table 46: Participation - Built Environment by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| NOT under housing cost stress | 77\% | 72\% | 70\% | 44\% | 66\% | 90\% | 73\% |
| Did NOT observe a code violation | 63\% | 62\% | 56\% | 58\% | 61\% | 61\% | 60\% |


| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Albemarle County | 100\% | 93\% | 98\% | 99\% | 96\% | 99\% | 89\% | 97\% |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | 12\% | 30\% | 30\% | 21\% | 32\% | 26\% | 24\% | 26\% |
| Work in Albemarle County | 72\% | 69\% | 40\% | 57\% | 61\% | 59\% | 61\% | 59\% |

Table 48: Participation - Economy by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 49,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more |  |
| Purchase goods or services from a business located in Albemarle County | 94\% | 100\% | 99\% | 95\% | 96\% | 99\% | 97\% |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | 18\% | 27\% | 31\% | 13\% | 20\% | 35\% | 26\% |
| Work in Albemarle County | 59\% | 71\% | 56\% | 51\% | 66\% | 59\% | 59\% |

Table 49: Participation - Recreation and Wellness by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month,
yes)
Used Albemarle County recreation centers or their services Visited a neighborhood park or County park
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity
Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health

| Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $18-34$ | $35-54$ | $55+$ |
| $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| $92 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $72 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $69 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | Male | White alone, not <br> Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other <br> race | Overall |
| $\%$ | $58 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |
|  | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
|  | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
|  | $87 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
|  | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

Table 50: Participation - Recreation and Wellness by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Used Albemarle County recreation centers or their services | 62\% | 68\% | 55\% | 44\% | 59\% | 67\% | 59\% |
| Visited a neighborhood park or County park | 91\% | 84\% | 77\% | 71\% | 80\% | 91\% | 83\% |
| Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day | 88\% | 95\% | 93\% | 85\% | 85\% | 96\% | 91\% |
| Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity | 87\% | 96\% | 87\% | 79\% | 85\% | 95\% | 88\% |
| Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health | 79\% | 83\% | 70\% | 58\% | 72\% | 84\% | 74\% |

Table 51: Participation - Education and Enrichment by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)

| Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| 71\% | 72\% | 61\% | 68\% | 68\% | 69\% | 62\% | 68\% |
| 35\% | 52\% | 49\% | 52\% | 42\% | 48\% | 45\% | 47\% |
| 43\% | 50\% | 32\% | 45\% | 38\% | 43\% | 37\% | 41\% |

Table 52: Participation - Education and Enrichment by Length of Residency and Household Income
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)
Used Albemarle County public libraries or their services
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Albemarle County
Attended a County-sponsored event

| Length of residency |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 years or <br> less | 6 to 10 <br> years | 11 or more <br> years |
| $66 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| $41 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| $41 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $39 \%$ |


|  | Less than |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 49,999$ |  |
| $61 \%$ |  |
| $34 \%$ |  |
| $26 \%$ |  |


| Household income <br> $\$ 50,000$ <br> to <br> $\$ 99,999$ | $\$ 100,000$ <br> more | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $74 \%$ | $67 \%$ |  |
| $52 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| $48 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ |

Table 53: Participation - Community Engagement by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 19\% | 39\% | 36\% | 35\% | 31\% | 35\% | 23\% | 33\% |
| Contacted Albemarle County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 12\% | 17\% | 23\% | 20\% | 17\% | 19\% | 12\% | 18\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Albemarle County | 35\% | 60\% | 45\% | 53\% | 43\% | 51\% | 35\% | 48\% |
| Participated in a club | 18\% | 31\% | 39\% | 31\% | 31\% | 32\% | 28\% | 31\% |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 87\% | 95\% | 94\% | 91\% | 95\% | 94\% | 88\% | 93\% |
| Done a favor for a neighbor | 71\% | 83\% | 85\% | 79\% | 84\% | 84\% | 71\% | 81\% |
| Attended a local public meeting | 12\% | 18\% | 24\% | 20\% | 18\% | 16\% | 27\% | 19\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 16\% | 27\% | 35\% | 28\% | 28\% | 27\% | 29\% | 28\% |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 80\% | 77\% | 93\% | 88\% | 79\% | 85\% | 81\% | 84\% |
| Vote in local elections | 69\% | 87\% | 97\% | 88\% | 86\% | 93\% | 62\% | 87\% |

## The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Table 54: Participation - Community Engagement by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { years or } \\ & \text { less } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate | 23\% | 46\% | 37\% | 31\% | 31\% | 35\% | 33\% |
| Contacted Albemarle County elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion | 12\% | 24\% | 21\% | 23\% | 14\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Albemarle County | 36\% | 70\% | 51\% | 31\% | 45\% | 58\% | 48\% |
| Participated in a club | 25\% | 40\% | 33\% | 32\% | 26\% | 33\% | 31\% |
| Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors | 89\% | 94\% | 96\% | 87\% | 87\% | 99\% | 93\% |
| Done a favor for a neighbor | 69\% | 85\% | 88\% | 73\% | 75\% | 87\% | 81\% |
| Attended a local public meeting | 14\% | 39\% | 17\% | 16\% | 14\% | 22\% | 19\% |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 18\% | 29\% | 33\% | 31\% | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% |
| Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.) | 77\% | 80\% | 90\% | 94\% | 76\% | 85\% | 84\% |
| Vote in local elections | 78\% | 93\% | 91\% | 77\% | 89\% | 89\% | 87\% |

Table 55: Community Focus Areas by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Albemarle County | 80\% | 76\% | 89\% | 84\% | 79\% | 82\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 86\% | 80\% | 89\% | 87\% | 83\% | 87\% | 77\% | 85\% |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Albemarle County | 82\% | 79\% | 84\% | 87\% | 76\% | 81\% | 85\% | 82\% |
| Overall "built environment" of Albemarle County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 76\% | 71\% | 81\% | 76\% | 77\% | 76\% | 73\% | 76\% |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Albemarle County | 78\% | 70\% | 86\% | 84\% | 72\% | 78\% | 81\% | 79\% |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 80\% | 65\% | 82\% | 80\% | 70\% | 75\% | 79\% | 76\% |
| Overall economic health of Albemarle County | 91\% | 87\% | 90\% | 88\% | 92\% | 90\% | 87\% | 89\% |
| Sense of community | 77\% | 62\% | 77\% | 75\% | 68\% | 72\% | 72\% | 71\% |

Table 56: Community Focus Areas by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Overall feeling of safety in Albemarle County | 79\% | 93\% | 81\% | 82\% | 80\% | 81\% | 82\% |
| Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit | 80\% | 94\% | 87\% | 88\% | 83\% | 83\% | 85\% |
| Quality of overall natural environment in Albemarle County | 86\% | 77\% | 80\% | 75\% | 84\% | 83\% | 82\% |
| Overall "built environment" of Albemarle County (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems) | 78\% | 75\% | 75\% | 69\% | 76\% | 79\% | 76\% |
| Health and wellness opportunities in Albemarle County | 76\% | 79\% | 81\% | 89\% | 76\% | 74\% | 79\% |
| Overall opportunities for education and enrichment | 76\% | 73\% | 76\% | 81\% | 72\% | 73\% | 76\% |
| Overall economic health of Albemarle County | 90\% | 83\% | 92\% | 93\% | 87\% | 89\% | 89\% |
| Sense of community | 73\% | 61\% | 74\% | 73\% | 69\% | 71\% | 71\% |

Table 57: Volunteered at a Public School by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| (Percent rating as more than once a month) | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Volunteered at a public school | 15\% | 37\% | 7\% | 24\% | 16\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% |

Table 58: Volunteered at a Public School by Length of Residency and Household Income

| (Percent rating as more than once a month) | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Volunteered at a public school | 16\% | 41\% | 18\% | 9\% | 14\% | 29\% | 20\% |

Table 59: Quality of Public Schools by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| (Percent rating as "excellent" or "good") | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Public schools | 78\% | 78\% | 81\% | 82\% | 77\% | 80\% | 72\% | 79\% |

Table 60: Quality of Public Schools by Length of Residency and Household Income

| (Percent rating as "excellent" or "good") | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | \$100,000 or more |  |
| Public schools | 81\% | 77\% | 79\% | 77\% | 73\% | 83\% | 79\% |

Table 61: Importance of Recycling Services by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important") | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | Overall |
| How important a service, if at all, do you consider recycling to be for County residents? | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 81\% | 72\% | 74\% | 87\% | 77\% |

Table 62: Importance of Recycling Services by Length of Residency and Household Income

| (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important") | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| How important a service, if at all, do you consider recycling to be for County residents? | 84\% | 78\% | 72\% | 68\% | 86\% | 74\% | 77\% |

Table 63: Likelihood of Recycling by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| (Percent rating as "very" or "somewhat likely") | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| The McIntire Recycling Center currently provides a "do it yourself" separation of recyclables by type (aluminum, cardboard, glass, etc.).If another "do it yourself" source separation recycling center was conveniently located, how likely would you be to bring your recyclables to the center? | 56\% | 62\% | 67\% | 69\% | 53\% | 58\% | 81\% | 62\% |

Table 64: Likelihood of Recycling by Length of Residency and Household Income

| (Percent rating as "very" or "somewhat likely") | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| The McIntire Recycling Center currently provides a "do it yourself" separation of recyclables by type (aluminum, cardboard, glass, etc.).If another "do it yourself" source separation recycling center was conveniently located, how likely would you be to bring your recyclables to the center? | 59\% | 61\% | 65\% | 79\% | 68\% | 51\% | 62\% |

Table 65: Preferred Recycling Center Location by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| If such a center were to be built, to what extent, if at all, would you prefer it be located in the following spots? (Percent rating as "strongly" or "somewhat prefer"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Crozet area | 30\% | 37\% | 40\% | 37\% | 37\% | 38\% | 27\% | 37\% |
| 29 North - Hydraulic/Rio area | 35\% | 32\% | 38\% | 45\% | 22\% | 32\% | 44\% | 35\% |
| 29 North - Hollymead area | 41\% | 30\% | 27\% | 37\% | 25\% | 29\% | 41\% | 32\% |
| Pantops area | 34\% | 23\% | 28\% | 32\% | 24\% | 25\% | 41\% | 29\% |
| Scottsville area | 22\% | 12\% | 18\% | 14\% | 19\% | 16\% | 18\% | 18\% |

Table 66: Preferred Recycling Center Location by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| If such a center were to be built, to what extent, if at all, would you prefer it be located in the following spots? (Percent rating as "strongly" or "somewhat prefer"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more |  |
| Crozet area | 36\% | 29\% | 39\% | 26\% | 41\% | 36\% | 37\% |
| 29 North - Hydraulic/Rio area | 28\% | 35\% | 41\% | 49\% | 33\% | 29\% | 35\% |
| 29 North - Hollymead area | 31\% | 28\% | 33\% | 39\% | 37\% | 24\% | 32\% |
| Pantops area | 27\% | 23\% | 30\% | 33\% | 29\% | 25\% | 29\% |
| Scottsville area | 13\% | 3\% | 24\% | 19\% | 24\% | 10\% | 18\% |

Table 67: Importance of Services Provided by the County by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| How important, if at all, are the following services for Albemarle County to provide? (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Expansion and improvement of trails | 57\% | 53\% | 49\% | 54\% | 50\% | 53\% | 48\% | 52\% |
| New sidewalks | 68\% | 58\% | 61\% | 65\% | 58\% | 59\% | 74\% | 62\% |
| Sidewalk/curb maintenance (e.g., snow removal, weeding) | 61\% | 57\% | 68\% | 71\% | 52\% | 61\% | 69\% | 63\% |
| Increased roadway landscaping (e.g., flowerbeds, increased frequency of mowing) | 44\% | 31\% | 45\% | 42\% | 37\% | 36\% | 54\% | 40\% |
| Expansion of the bus service | 42\% | 46\% | 56\% | 59\% | 36\% | 49\% | 48\% | 49\% |
| Preservation of the rural area | 76\% | 82\% | 77\% | 77\% | 81\% | 76\% | 91\% | 79\% |
| Expansion of bicycle paths | 64\% | 66\% | 47\% | 61\% | 55\% | 56\% | 68\% | 58\% |
| Preschool funding | 83\% | 58\% | 63\% | 71\% | 60\% | 66\% | 67\% | 66\% |
| Curbside recycling | 61\% | 48\% | 56\% | 56\% | 51\% | 52\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| Composting | 51\% | 27\% | 31\% | 39\% | 28\% | 31\% | 49\% | 34\% |

Table 68: Importance of Services Provided by the County by Length of Residency and Household Income

| How important, if at all, are the following services for Albemarle County to provide? (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Expansion and improvement of trails | 61\% | 52\% | 46\% | 44\% | 54\% | 55\% | 52\% |
| New sidewalks | 69\% | 63\% | 57\% | 55\% | 67\% | 61\% | 62\% |
| Sidewalk/curb maintenance (e.g., snow removal, weeding) | 61\% | 63\% | 64\% | 77\% | 65\% | 54\% | 63\% |
| Increased roadway landscaping (e.g., flowerbeds, increased frequency of mowing) | 41\% | 44\% | 38\% | 47\% | 37\% | 36\% | 40\% |
| Expansion of the bus service | 46\% | 45\% | 51\% | 58\% | 71\% | 33\% | 49\% |
| Preservation of the rural area | 79\% | 74\% | 80\% | 83\% | 86\% | 73\% | 79\% |
| Expansion of bicycle paths | 61\% | 64\% | 55\% | 64\% | 54\% | 59\% | 58\% |
| Preschool funding | 69\% | 53\% | 68\% | 71\% | 70\% | 61\% | 66\% |
| Curbside recycling | 58\% | 56\% | 50\% | 49\% | 58\% | 52\% | 54\% |
| Composting | 43\% | 31\% | 29\% | 35\% | 36\% | 30\% | 34\% |

Table 69: Support for Higher Taxes by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Please indicate to what extent you would support or oppose paying higher taxes for the following services: (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Expansion and improvement of trails | 72\% | 67\% | 58\% | 66\% | 63\% | 65\% | 63\% | 64\% |
| New sidewalks | 73\% | 63\% | 67\% | 68\% | 66\% | 67\% | 65\% | 67\% |
| Sidewalk/curb maintenance (e.g., snow removal, weeding) | 70\% | 63\% | 69\% | 71\% | 62\% | 66\% | 69\% | 67\% |
| Increased roadway landscaping (e.g., flowerbeds, increased frequency of mowing) | 51\% | 40\% | 62\% | 51\% | 52\% | 50\% | 52\% | 51\% |
| Expansion of the bus service | 44\% | 58\% | 63\% | 65\% | 47\% | 56\% | 56\% | 57\% |
| Preservation of the rural area | 73\% | 72\% | 74\% | 71\% | 77\% | 73\% | 71\% | 73\% |
| Expansion of bicycle paths | 80\% | 66\% | 56\% | 67\% | 64\% | 65\% | 71\% | 65\% |
| Preschool funding | 76\% | 65\% | 68\% | 73\% | 65\% | 71\% | 63\% | 69\% |
| Curbside recycling | 69\% | 54\% | 56\% | 60\% | 57\% | 59\% | 55\% | 58\% |
| Composting | 55\% | 37\% | 41\% | 48\% | 37\% | 39\% | 61\% | 43\% |

Table 70: Support for Higher Taxes by Length of Residency and Household Income

| Please indicate to what extent you would support or oppose paying higher taxes for the following services: (Percent rating as "essential" or "very important"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Expansion and improvement of trails | 82\% | 62\% | 52\% | 41\% | 65\% | 74\% | 64\% |
| New sidewalks | 71\% | 62\% | 65\% | 61\% | 59\% | 74\% | 67\% |
| Sidewalk/curb maintenance (e.g., snow removal, weeding) | 70\% | 63\% | 65\% | 74\% | 64\% | 66\% | 67\% |
| Increased roadway landscaping (e.g., flowerbeds, increased frequency of mowing) | 49\% | 54\% | 52\% | 51\% | 48\% | 52\% | 51\% |
| Expansion of the bus service | 57\% | 56\% | 56\% | 53\% | 70\% | 50\% | 57\% |
| Preservation of the rural area | 84\% | 53\% | 70\% | 76\% | 77\% | 71\% | 73\% |
| Expansion of bicycle paths | 79\% | 60\% | 58\% | 60\% | 60\% | 72\% | 65\% |
| Preschool funding | 69\% | 65\% | 69\% | 61\% | 66\% | 73\% | 69\% |
| Curbside recycling | 65\% | 49\% | 55\% | 47\% | 66\% | 58\% | 58\% |
| Composting | 51\% | 35\% | 39\% | 34\% | 47\% | 44\% | 43\% |

Table 71: Potential Preventions of Attending an In-person Meeting by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| If you were interested in learning more about or making public comment on an issue, to what extent might each of the following prevent you from attending an in-person meeting? (Percent rating as "definitely would" or "might prevent"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race | Overall |
| Time of day (evening meetings not convenient) | 74\% | 74\% | 64\% | 76\% | 63\% | 68\% | 81\% | 70\% |
| Meeting location (too far) | 92\% | 74\% | 64\% | 77\% | 71\% | 73\% | 80\% | 75\% |
| Lack of transportation to meeting | 19\% | 13\% | 27\% | 25\% | 14\% | 15\% | 43\% | 20\% |
| Duration of meetings (too long) | 63\% | 68\% | 63\% | 67\% | 61\% | 62\% | 75\% | 65\% |
| Format of meetings does not meet my needs | 58\% | 57\% | 64\% | 61\% | 57\% | 56\% | 74\% | 60\% |
| Child/adult care responsibilities | 57\% | 53\% | 18\% | 44\% | 36\% | 37\% | 61\% | 41\% |

Table 72: Potential Preventions of Attending an In-person Meeting by Length of Residency and Household Income

| If you were interested in learning more about or making public comment on an issue, to what extent might each of the following prevent you from attending an in-person meeting? (Percent rating as "definitely would" or "might prevent"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more |  |
| Time of day (evening meetings not convenient) | 78\% | 77\% | 62\% | 59\% | 71\% | 74\% | 70\% |
| Meeting location (too far) | 84\% | 66\% | 69\% | 73\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Lack of transportation to meeting | 21\% | 18\% | 20\% | 27\% | 28\% | 13\% | 20\% |
| Duration of meetings (too long) | 65\% | 72\% | 62\% | 68\% | 71\% | 59\% | 65\% |
| Format of meetings does not meet my needs | 61\% | 75\% | 55\% | 63\% | 58\% | 58\% | 60\% |
| Child/adult care responsibilities | 46\% | 46\% | 36\% | 36\% | 49\% | 38\% | 41\% |

Table 73: Preferences for Providing Comments on Local Issues by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity
To what extent would you prefer, if at all, to provide comments on local issues in each of the following ways: (Percent rating as "strongly" or "somewhat prefer").
In-person at a Board of Supervisors meeting/hearing
In-person at a community meeting
Online comment form posted by Albemarle County
Email communication with the Board of Supervisors and/or staff
Mail communication to Board of Supervisors and/or staff
Social media (Facebook, Twitter)
Local news online comment boxes

| Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18-$ | $35-$ |  |
| 34 | 54 | $55+$ |
| $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| $46 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $75 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| $26 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $47 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ |


|  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | Male | White alone, not <br> Hispanic | Hispanic and/or <br> other race |
| Overall |  |  |  |  |
|  | $36 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

Table 74: Preferences for Providing Comments on Local Issues by Length of Residency and Household Income

| To what extent would you prefer, if at all, to provide comments on local issues in each of the following ways: (Percent rating as "strongly" or "somewhat prefer"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000$ or more |  |
| In-person at a Board of Supervisors meeting/hearing | 45\% | 33\% | 37\% | 31\% | 44\% | 39\% | 39\% |
| In-person at a community meeting | 50\% | 45\% | 44\% | 40\% | 54\% | 44\% | 46\% |
| Online comment form posted by Albemarle County | 67\% | 91\% | 73\% | 70\% | 70\% | 77\% | 73\% |
| Email communication with the Board of Supervisors and/or staff | 66\% | 92\% | 73\% | 65\% | 71\% | 78\% | 73\% |
| Mail communication to Board of Supervisors and/or staff | 34\% | 51\% | 44\% | 46\% | 40\% | 40\% | 41\% |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter) | 40\% | 37\% | 20\% | 36\% | 28\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| Local news online comment boxes | 34\% | 44\% | 42\% | 56\% | 32\% | 35\% | 39\% |

Table 75: Preferred Format for Providing Online Feedback by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| If you were to participate in an online feedback system, to what extent, if at all, would you prefer each of the following formats? (Percent rating as "strongly" or "somewhat prefer"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Respond to questions using an online survey | 90\% | 80\% | 67\% | 74\% | 83\% | 78\% | 75\% | 77\% |
| Direct email to staff and/or the Board of Supervisors | 55\% | 61\% | 60\% | 61\% | 58\% | 63\% | 42\% | 59\% |
| Online public discussion forum (log-in required) | 39\% | 27\% | 20\% | 28\% | 27\% | 23\% | 46\% | 27\% |

Table 76: Preferred Format for Providing Online Feedback by Length of Residency and Household Income

| If you were to participate in an online feedback system, to what extent, if at all, would you prefer each of the following formats? (Percent rating as "strongly" or "somewhat prefer"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100,000 \text { or }$ more |  |
| Respond to questions using an online survey | 80\% | 87\% | 74\% | 75\% | 72\% | 84\% | 77\% |
| Direct email to staff and/or the Board of Supervisors | 52\% | 81\% | 59\% | 55\% | 63\% | 59\% | 59\% |
| Online public discussion forum (log-in required) | 30\% | 33\% | 24\% | 34\% | 27\% | 26\% | 27\% |

Table 77: Sources of Information by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| Please indicate how much of a source, if at all, you consider each of the following to be for obtaining information about the City government and its activities, events and services: (Percent rating as "major" or "minor source"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Daily Progress | 76\% | 62\% | 69\% | 69\% | 67\% | 69\% | 64\% | 68\% |
| C-ville weekly | 80\% | 66\% | 60\% | 70\% | 63\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| Other local media outlets (radio, local television stations) | 89\% | 82\% | 88\% | 91\% | 80\% | 89\% | 75\% | 86\% |
| Charlottesville Tomorrow | 40\% | 35\% | 30\% | 32\% | 37\% | 32\% | 45\% | 34\% |
| Social media (Facebook, Twitter) | 86\% | 58\% | 23\% | 56\% | 45\% | 47\% | 68\% | 51\% |
| County "A-mail" (e-newsletter) | 39\% | 30\% | 32\% | 32\% | 35\% | 31\% | 43\% | 33\% |
| Public postings at local businesses/library/County offices | 64\% | 49\% | 36\% | 50\% | 45\% | 46\% | 53\% | 47\% |
| Word-of-mouth | 83\% | 75\% | 69\% | 74\% | 76\% | 76\% | 70\% | 75\% |

Table 78: Sources of Information by Length of Residency and Household I ncome
Please indicate how much of a source, if at all, you consider each of the following to be for obtaining information about the City government and its activities, events and services: (Percent rating as "major" or "minor source").
Daily Progress

| Length of residency |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 years <br> or less | 11 or <br> to 10 <br> years | more <br> years |
| $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| $70 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $62 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| $35 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $56 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| $74 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $74 \%$ |


| Household income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than <br> $\$ 49,999$ | $\$ 50,000$ <br> $\$ 99,999$ | $\$ 100,000$ <br> more |  |
| $67 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $72 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| $96 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| $33 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| $35 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $55 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| $74 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

C-ville weekly
Other local media outlets (radio, local television stations)
Charlottesville Tomorrow
Social media (Facebook, Twitter)
County "A-mail" (e-newsletter)
Public postings at local businesses/library/County offices
Word-of-mouth

| Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18-$ <br> 34 | $35-$ <br> 54 | $55+$ | Female | Male | White alone, not <br> Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other <br> race | Overall |
| $40 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ |  |

Table 80: Frequency of Website Use by Length of Residency and Household Income

| (Percent rating as "frequently" or "sometimes") | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { years or } \\ \text { less } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| How often, if ever, in the last 12 months have you used the County's website (www.albemarle.org)? | 33\% | 43\% | 34\% | 19\% | 46\% | 34\% | 35\% |

Table 81: Uses of County Website by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

| How often, if ever, in the last 12 months, have you used www. albemarle.org for the following? (Percent rating as "sometimes" or "frequently"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35- \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Pay a bill | 39\% | 25\% | 17\% | 29\% | 23\% | 20\% | 55\% | 26\% |
| Watch a Board meeting | 0\% | 3\% | 12\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| Access public meeting materials (agendas, minutes) | 11\% | 6\% | 18\% | 15\% | 6\% | 9\% | 19\% | 11\% |
| Book a park shelter | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 9\% | 4\% | 8\% | 2\% | 7\% |
| Sign up for a Parks \& Recreation class | 3\% | 12\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Find information about a property in the County | 32\% | 32\% | 46\% | 43\% | 29\% | 35\% | 39\% | 37\% |
| Download a form | 28\% | 17\% | 24\% | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% | 24\% | 22\% |
| Access building, licensing \& permit applications | 14\% | 10\% | 19\% | 10\% | 18\% | 15\% | 5\% | 14\% |
| Apply for energy, medical, food and/or other resource assistance | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% |


| How often, if ever, in the last 12 months, have you used www.albemarle.org for the following? (Percent rating as "sometimes" or "frequently"). | Age |  |  | Sex |  | Race/ethnicity |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 18- \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35- \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 55+ | Female | Male | White alone, not Hispanic | Hispanic and/or other race |  |
| Contact information for a department/staff person | 11\% | 22\% | 23\% | 25\% | 13\% | 21\% | 14\% | 19\% |
| Learn more about an issue facing the community | 8\% | 18\% | 22\% | 17\% | 16\% | 15\% | 21\% | 17\% |
| Learn more about a department within the County | 21\% | 14\% | 27\% | 18\% | 22\% | 21\% | 15\% | 20\% |
| County job opportunities | 31\% | 22\% | 12\% | 24\% | 18\% | 20\% | 28\% | 21\% |
| Access County public meeting calendar | 6\% | 4\% | 10\% | 8\% | 4\% | 7\% | 3\% | 6\% |

Table 82: Uses of County Website by Length of Residency and Household I ncome

| How often, if ever, in the last 12 months, have you used www.albemarle.org for the following? (Percent rating as "sometimes" or "frequently"). | Length of residency |  |  | Household income |  |  | Overall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 years or less | 6 to 10 years | 11 or more years | Less than \$49,999 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pay a bill | 40\% | 24\% | 16\% | 26\% | 33\% | 23\% | 26\% |
| Watch a Board meeting | 2\% | 3\% | 9\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Access public meeting materials (agendas, minutes) | 8\% | 11\% | 14\% | 7\% | 18\% | 8\% | 11\% |
| Book a park shelter | 2\% | 14\% | 8\% | 2\% | 5\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Sign up for a Parks \& Recreation class | 1\% | 10\% | 16\% | 4\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% |
| Find information about a property in the County | 28\% | 37\% | 43\% | 25\% | 38\% | 39\% | 37\% |
| Download a form | 22\% | 25\% | 22\% | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Access building, licensing \& permit applications | 9\% | 21\% | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% |
| Apply for energy, medical, food and/or other resource assistance | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Contact information for a department/staff person | 14\% | 36\% | 19\% | 6\% | 21\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| Learn more about an issue facing the community | 8\% | 18\% | 24\% | 10\% | 20\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| Learn more about a department within the County | 15\% | 20\% | 24\% | 16\% | 24\% | 19\% | 20\% |
| County job opportunities | 19\% | 40\% | 19\% | 22\% | 37\% | 11\% | 21\% |
| Access County public meeting calendar | 5\% | 10\% | 6\% | 0\% | 10\% | 6\% | 6\% |

