

# **Quarterly Economic Indicators Report**



**As of the Quarter Ended March 31, 2017**

## **Introduction**

The attached Table I provides a general indication of the state of Albemarle County's economy in the quarter for which the most recent data is available. For comparative purposes, each line in Table I reveals data for Q2 FY 17 or Q1 of FY 17, depending on how recently the relevant information was available. Each line in Table I also reveals corresponding historical figures from FY 16, FY 15, FY 14, and FY 13.

The data in Table I consists of three broad categories. The first category pertains to general economic activity in the County, as revealed by the following local tax revenue streams: Sales Tax, Consumer Utility Tax, Food and Beverage Tax, Transient Occupancy Tax, Inspection Fees, and Other Development Fees. Staff has determined that these revenue streams collectively reflect the overall health of the County's economy since they relate directly to a number of important industries including retail, tourism, and construction; these revenue streams, also, collectively have shadowed movements in the Charlottesville Metropolitan Statistical Area's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) during the course of the past ten years. This set of data pertains to Q2 FY 17 (the most recent quarter for which complete data is available for all of the revenues streams) and Q2 of previous fiscal years.

The second group of data reveals the County's unemployment rate. Corresponding information is presented for the state and U.S. unemployment rates. These figures pertain to Q2 FY 17 and Q2 of the previous fiscal years. The third data group in Table I includes information about the total number of jobs in the County. Note that this data covers Q1 FY 17, and Q1 of each previous fiscal year, due to the Virginia Employment Commission's (VEC's) ongoing two quarter reporting lag. In addition to total jobs data, Table I breaks down the information by private sector vs. public sector jobs; federal government, state government, and local government jobs; and jobs by two digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code. Table I presents the quarterly data in such a way that changes over time in the employment numbers in the various job sectors become readily apparent.

## **Results**

### *General Economic Activity – One Year*

Between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17, most of the tax revenue streams shown in Table I exhibited moderate-to-strong growth, while one revenue stream remained essentially flat. Note that, unlike annual data, which tends to be relatively smooth, quarterly data from one fiscal year can swing widely from corresponding quarterly figures in other fiscal years. This phenomenon can come about as the result of differences in the timing of the receipt of revenues, as well as unusual differences in economic conditions that might exist between any two particular corresponding quarters. An example of this latter situation would be the impact of harsh weather conditions on, say, sales tax revenue. With this caveat in mind, a comparison of Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17 Sales Tax revenue reveals growth of about 7.6%, while the County's Consumer Utility Tax (CUT) revenue, a stream that historically has correlated well with general economic

activity, grew by 3.6%. This growth in CUT revenue is somewhat surprising since, in recent years, CUT usually has been flat-to-slightly declining, a situation that likely reflects an ongoing shift away from landline telephones to cell phone usage and internet-based communication services such as Skype and FaceTime. These latter forms of communication are not subject to taxation and, consequently, growth in the use of these alternate methods of communication has potentially negative long-term implications for the County's Telecommunications Tax revenue, which is a substantial portion (roughly 52%) of Albemarle's overall Consumer Utility Tax revenue. For this reason, staff is reassessing the usefulness of Consumer Utility Tax revenue as an indicator of general economic activity. The 3.6% growth in CUT between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17 in other words likely represents a statistical illusion.

Food and Beverage tax revenue grew by 9.3% between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17; this strong performance is consistent with the growing local economy, and is expected to rise again in coming quarters as the result of the addition of at least two high-volume eating establishments in Albemarle. Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT), meanwhile, appears to have risen by 4.6% between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17. Note that, in coming quarters, a substantial number of new hotel and motel rooms will open in the City, while none will open in the County. This situation likely will put downward pressure on future *growth* in the Albemarle's TOT revenue stream but, overall, staff expects that tourism in the region will remain robust.

Finally, Table I indicates that Inspection Fees grew by roughly 6.1% between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17, while Other Development Fees remained essentially flat, rising only by 1.1% during this same time period. Economic activity in the County, particularly new development, has been robust in the past year. Note that, according to Table I of the Q3 *Calendar Year 2016 Albemarle Building Activity Report*, the number of new building permits issued Year-to-Date (YTD) in 2016 exceeded the 2015 YTD figure by 192 or 46%. Staff thinks that new development will remain fairly strong in the coming year, largely as a result of the strength of the County's overall labor market, discussed below.

#### *General Economic Activity – Multiyear*

Between Q2 FY 13 and Q2 FY 17, Sales Tax revenue grew by about 23%, while TOT revenue rose by around 11%. These increases came about, at least partially, from the construction and opening of a major shopping center and hotel along the 29 North corridor. Food & Beverage tax revenue jumped by about 36% during this period. This latter result is consistent with the opening of a number of high volume restaurants in the County in recent years. Inspections Fees, meanwhile, also jumped by around 31%, while Other Development Fees seem to have skyrocketed by 83%. New development has been quite robust in Albemarle during the course of the past few years. Consumer Utility Tax revenue, unfortunately, remained somewhat flat between Q2 FY 13 and Q2 FY 17. The rise of only about 5% in this multiyear time frame reflects a consumer transition away from traditional landline phones to other modes of telecommunication, as discussed previously. Note also that, as consumers increase their usage of energy efficient appliances, as well as their usage of energy efficient lighting systems such as

LED's, growth in the tax revenue associated with electric utilities could be tempered in the future, even as population and business activity increases in the County.

#### *Unemployment Rate – One Year*

Albemarle's average monthly unemployment rate was basically flat between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17, dropping slightly from 3.37% Q2 FY 16 to 3.33% in Q2 FY 17. This performance was consistent with the leveling of the state and national unemployment rates. The County's 3.33% unemployment rate is *below* what many economists would consider to be the "frictional" or "full employment" rate of unemployment. Staff thinks that, based on the past twenty years of unemployment rate data, Albemarle's frictional employment rate likely is *in the vicinity* of 3.5%. Note that the unemployment rate applies only to people who are in the labor force; the number does not capture people who might have become discouraged looking for employment and who have dropped out of the labor force.

#### *Unemployment Rate – Multiyear*

The County's unemployment rate has diminished slowly in the past several years since the end of the 2007-09 recession. Between Q2 FY 13 and Q2 FY 17, Albemarle's unemployment rate fell from 4.67% to 3.33%, or by 1.34 pp. The decline in the County's rate was not quite as large as the corresponding drop in the Virginia unemployment rate (1.56 pp) or the U.S. rate (2.97 pp) but, as shown in Table I, the County's rate historically has been well below those of the U.S. and Virginia.

#### *Employment – One Year*

Note that the jobs numbers for Albemarle come from the Virginia Employment Commission's Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) report; are given by place of employment; and include both part-time and full-time positions, as well as both temporary and permanent positions. The nature of this data is such that the numbers can swing substantially from quarter to quarter during any particular year and, additionally, can vary widely between the same quarter of different years. Changes in the numbers sometimes can be misleading if, for example, employers in the County replace many part-time jobs with full-time positions. The VEC's jobs numbers, nonetheless, are used as the gauge of the number of positions in the County since the VEC is a readily available source of information.

As shown on Table I, the average monthly total number of jobs in the County appears to have increased substantially from 51,873 in Q1 FY 16 to 53,394 in Q1 FY 17, or by 1,521 positions (2.93%). This result is encouraging, is consistent with data reported in recent Quarterly Economic Indicator Reports, and speaks to an apparently robust jobs market in Albemarle County. Given the consistency between the data shown in the table and the corresponding data from prior Quarterly Economic Indicator Reports, staff thinks that this strong performance will be repeated in coming quarters, i.e., does *not* reflect a statistical fluke.

Table I reveals that the private sector had a net gain of 851 positions between Q1 FY 16 and Q1 FY 17, and that the private sector's share of the total number of jobs in the County was essentially flat during this time period. The public sector, meanwhile, experienced a net gain of 670 jobs. The private sector, in other words, appears to have accounted for about  $851/1,521 = 60\%$  of the growth in the total number of jobs between Q1 FY 16 and Q1 FY 17. Of the growth in public sector jobs, approximately  $630/670 = 94\%$  came from an increase in State employment. It is important to keep in mind that the figures presented in Table I reflect *monthly averages for the three months of the quarter*, and do not necessarily reveal changes in full-time, permanent positions.

Employment sectors that experienced the largest increases in numbers between Q1 FY 16 and Q1 FY 17 include Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation (+621 jobs); Health Care and Social Assistance (+456 jobs); and Educational Services (+412 jobs). Sectors that endured the greatest losses, again in terms of numbers, include Administration and Support (-304 jobs); Construction (-90); and Management of Companies (-77 jobs).

Note the decline in the number of jobs in the Construction sector (-90). At first glance, this result would seem to be inconsistent with staff's view that new development will remain robust in the coming year. A closer look at how the Virginia Employment Commission (VEC) compiles jobs data, however, reveals that the drop in employment in this sector might not necessarily indicate a slowdown in new development. When the VEC compiles jobs figures by "place of employment" the place of employment *is the jurisdiction in which the employer has its main office, not necessarily where the jobs are physically located*.

In the construction industry, a number of the firms involved in major projects in our region are not headquartered within the Charlottesville metro area. The major expansion (\$235 million) currently taking place at the UVA Medical Center, for example, has Skanska USA as its general contractor. This firm's main office is in New York, NY, so the number of construction jobs affiliated with this contractor's involvement in the project should not show up in the jobs data for any of the jurisdictions in our region, even though large numbers of people from our region might be working for this contractor on the project. Likewise, if any of the subcontractors on the UVA Medical Center project are based in our region, but not in Albemarle County, the jobs figures associated with these subcontractors will not show up in the County's totals, even if County residents work on the project for these non-Albemarle subcontractors.

Critically, individual contractors who might have worked for an Albemarle-based firm in one time period might switch their employment to a firm based outside of the County in another time period. These workers' jobs would "disappear" from the official jobs data but, clearly, the jobs associated with these workers would still exist. Staff thinks that this type of job shifting might account for much, or perhaps all, of the apparent decline in the number of jobs in the County's construction sector, particularly as a number of major projects have commenced recently in the region.

This situation points out one important limitation of the VEC jobs numbers. As mentioned previously, however, the VEC is a readily available source of jobs data for Albemarle County, so staff tends to rely on data from the VEC in order to analyze the County's labor market. Staff, furthermore, consistently has reported employment using VEC data; this practice ensures consistency in reporting methodology and results.

### *Employment – Multiyear*

During the course of the Q1 FY 13 to Q1 FY 17 time period, the total number of jobs grew by 4,511 positions, or 9.23%. The private sector accounted for 3,202 of these jobs, or about 71% of the total growth. Note that the private sector's share of the jobs base grew very slightly, from 67.95% in Q1 FY 13 to 68.21% in Q1 FY 17. With regard to the public sector, growth in jobs during this time period was relatively small. The number of public sector positions in Albemarle increased by 1,309 between these quarters. This growth appears to have resulted from an increase in federal level employment (+37 jobs) and state-level employment (+1,522 jobs), a situation which counteracted a drop in Local Government positions (-250 jobs).

The NAICS sectors that experienced the largest increase in jobs between Q1 FY 13 and Q1 FY 17 included Health Care and Social Assistance (+1,537 positions); Educational Services (+963 jobs); and Retail Trade (+862 positions). The sectors that experienced the sharpest declines employment numbers included Administration and Support (-255 jobs); Public Administration (-176 jobs); and Wholesale Trade (-87 positions). Interestingly, the number of jobs in Manufacturing, a sector that has attracted quite a bit of interest recently, appears to have been essentially flat in Albemarle between Q1 FY 13 and Q1 FY 17, with a net loss of four jobs over the course of the four-year period.

### **Conclusions**

The data presented on Table I indicates that the County's economy, as represented by the *collective* performance of selected revenue streams, exhibited positive performance between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17.

The small 0.04 pp decline in Albemarle's unemployment rate between Q2 FY 16 and Q2 FY 17 seems to imply that the County's economy continued to grow this past year but that the unemployment rate might have reached a stationary level. The Q2 FY 17 rate of 3.33% appears to be at least nominally consistent with full employment.

A substantial increase in Albemarle's jobs base between Q1 FY 16 and Q1 FY 17 (+1,521 positions, or +2.93%) seems to imply that economic conditions were robust during this time period. This piece of information, along with the recent decline in the County's unemployment rate, suggests a relatively healthy Albemarle economy. Note that this assessment pertains to the cyclical performance of the County's economy. Potential longer-term *structural* issues that might affect Albemarle's future economic health are outside the scope of this report.

Staff remains cautiously optimistic about the County's near-term economic outlook. Many of the County's fundamentals appear to be strong but, as mentioned in prior reports, slow growth in overseas economies could negatively impact the health of the U.S. economy and, by extension, local conditions in Albemarle County.

On a related note, the current U.S. economic expansion is 94 months old. This expansion is the third longest of any expansion since the end of the Second World War.<sup>1</sup> Intuitively, we might think that the longevity of the current expansion might mean that the U.S. economy is "due" for another recession. Recent research by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, however, suggests that economic recoveries do not necessarily "die" of old age.<sup>2</sup> Staff thinks, nonetheless, that the County should be prepared for the eventual end of the current U.S. economic expansion.

The recent change in administration in Washington, DC, additionally, introduces some uncertainty into the County's near-term economic forecast. The potential elimination or restructuring of one or more federal government departments could affect Albemarle-based federal employment and, additionally, might result in a Northern Virginia-generated "ripple" effect on the County's economy. If the federal government likewise were to cut back on research funding, this action could negatively impact research activity at the University of Virginia and, consequently, could reduce the research-related "multiplier" effect on the County's economy.

Two other items related to the recent change in administration in Washington, DC, however, could have a positive impact on Albemarle. If the federal government engaged in massive infrastructure maintenance/enhancement activity, this program could benefit the local economy. Details about the federal government's infrastructure maintenance/enhancement program, however, are not entirely clear at the moment. The administration's proposed increase in military spending might also benefit the local economy, via direct and indirect economic channels.

---

1. The lengths of the current recovery and previous recoveries is calculated using data available from the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) website:

<http://www.nber.org/cycles/cyclesmain.html>

NBER is the organization that makes the official designation of the beginning and end of U.S. business cycles.

2. See the article, "Will the Economic Recovery Die of Old Age?" in the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco's *Economic Letter* of February 4, 2016:

<http://www.frbsf.org/economic-research/publications/economic-letter/2016/february/will-economic-recovery-die-of-old-age/>