County of Albemarle
Department of Community Development

## Memorandum

To: Megan Yaniglos, Principal Planner

From: Mark Graham, PE (acting as Engineering reviewer)
Date: 04 August 2016

Subject: ZMA2015-00007, Brookhill
Analysis of Proffers

I have reviewed the proffer summary for the subject project dated July 25, 2016. My findings are:

1. The spreadsheet appears to accurately list the improvements that should be considered as above and beyond what is required for "by right" development. Those improvements are what are typically included in an analysis of offsets to impacts.
2. The proposed project appears to have adequately addressed the direct impacts to the transportation network, natural resources, and utilities, indicating that the offsets are also available to address indirect impacts associated with the development.
3. I calculated the credit value of the proffered improvements as $\$ 28,281,000$. This number includes the $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ for transit and the "in kind" additional proffers from the high school site through the Rio Mills connection, as listed on the proffer summary. I note that some of this is somewhat subjective on my part, as it would be necessary to have complete and approved plans to precisely estimate the value of constructed improvements. That said, I believe the stated values are reasonable and reflect the anticipated costs.
4. Lacking an adopted proffer policy, the suggested amounts for a dwelling unit cash proffer appear consistent with the FY16 analysis under the previous cash proffer policy. This is consistent with work done earlier this year by the County's FIAC. I note that an updated analysis based on the FY17 CIP has not been performed due to resource limitations and time constraints, but I do not consider this a significant issue, as demonstrated in \#5 below.
5. Noting the total estimated credits of $\$ 28,281,000$ and the number of residential dwelling units in the Code of Development, this equates to a range of $\$ 21$ - $\$ 41$ Thousand per dwelling unit, excluding the affordable housing units. This assumes a minimum of 680 dwelling units and a maximum of 1,317 dwelling units for a distribution of the credits.

Based on this analysis, I conclude the proffers have adequately address the impacts associated with this development.


