

# ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2022 FIRST QUARTER CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REPORT

Community Development Department  
Information Services Division  
401 McIntire Road  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596  
(434) 296-5832

## INDEX

---

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

## KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment

---


During the 1st quarter of 2022, 121 certificates of occupancy were issued for 1129 dwelling units. There were zero -0- permits issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500/unit, for a total of -\$0-. There were no certificates of occupancy issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

**I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units**

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2021 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	38	20	57	30	56	22	92	37	187	31	102	19	165	24	177	23	108	21	129
2nd Quarter	72	28	102	41	71	33	110	22	119	44	118	29	349	29	121	25	0	0	0
3rd Quarter	77	20	69	26	89	30	117	16	228	34	161	35	152	31	97	28	0	0	0
4th Quarter	70	50	58	25	93	23	164	35	188	39	171	30	371	22	130	35	0	0	0
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	257	118	286	122	309	108	483	110	722	148	552	113	1037	106	525	111	108	21	129
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	375		408		417		593		870		665		1143		636		129		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



\* Year-to-date total only

1stth Quarter 2022

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	19	0	0	0	0	24	0	5	48	37%
JACK JOUETT	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2%
RIVANNA	8	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	18	14%
SAMUEL MILLER	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4%
SCOTTSVILLE	12	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	22	17%
WHITE HALL	28	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	34	26%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	8%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	10	8%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
<b>URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18%</b>
CROZET COMMUNITY	22	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	28	22%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	34	26%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	10%
<b>COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>58%</b>
RIVANNA VILLAGE	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8%
<b>VILLAGE SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8%</b>
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
<b>TOWN SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>84%</b>
RURAL AREA 1	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4%
RURAL AREA 2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4%
RURAL AREA 3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	7%
RURAL AREA 4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
<b>RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>

1st Quarter 2022

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	8%
Baker Butler	14	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	38	29%
Broadus Wood	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
Brownsville	18	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	24	19%
Crozet	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5%
Greer	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	8%
Meriwether Lewis	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
Mountain View	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	10	8%
Murray	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Red Hill	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Scottsville	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Stone Robinson	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	12%
Stony Point	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2%
Woodbrook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>100%</b>

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	23	\$ 6,318,895	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	23	\$ 6,318,895
JOUETT	2	\$ 335,480	0	\$ -	0	\$ 1,600,000	0	\$ -	2	\$ 1,935,480
RIVANNA	9	\$ 7,933,426	0	\$ -	1	\$ 1,600,000	6	\$ 1,010,000	16	\$ 10,543,426
S. MILLER	6	\$ 4,944,515	1	\$ 100,000	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	7	\$ 5,044,515
SCOTTSVILLE	22	\$ 6,102,078	1	\$ 7,000	2	\$ 2,097,306	0	\$ -	25	\$ 8,206,384
WHITE HALL	34	\$ 14,519,255	0	\$ -	3	\$ 1,333,265	11	\$ 5,500	48	\$ 15,858,020
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>\$ 40,153,649</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$ 107,000</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$ 6,630,571</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>\$ 1,015,500</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>\$ 47,906,720</b>

\* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

\* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

\* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

\*\* Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.