



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2023 FOURTH QUARTER BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

-
- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
 - II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
 - III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During the 4th Quarter of 2023, 303 building permits were issued for 92 dwelling units. There were zero -0- permits issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500/unit, for a total of \$-0-. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2023 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	90	15	92	31	222	41	487	37	512	18	435	29	191	41	132	45	64	30	94
2nd Quarter	79	51	266	40	219	35	265	40	166	32	294	30	121	30	231	45	16	13	29
3rd Quarter	144	38	127	53	114	42	76	29	190	47	408	41	99	23	390	29	310	23	333
4th Quarter	56	41	205	42	132	46	99	42	132	37	75	30	295	38	20	22	83	9	92
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	369	145	690	166	687	164	927	148	1000	134	1212	130	706	132	773	141	473	75	548
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	514		856		851		1075		1134		1342		838		914		548		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



4th Quarter 2023

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	10%
JACK JOUETT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
RIVANNA	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	7%
SAMUEL MILLER	3	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	17	18%
SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1%
WHITE HALL	3	0	1	0	24	31	0	0	59	64%
TOTAL	16	0	6	0	24	45	1	0	92	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	14	15%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	7	0	1	0	0	14	0	0	22	24%
CROZET COMMUNITY	0	0	1	0	24	31	0	0	56	61%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	1	0	5	0	24	31	0	0	61	66%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	8	0	6	0	24	45	0	0	83	90%
RURAL AREA 1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
RURAL AREA 2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	3%
RURAL AREA 3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3%
RURAL AREA 4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	10%
TOTAL	16	0	6	0	24	45	1	0	92	100%

4th Quarter 2023

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL	% TOTAL
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA	UNITS	UNITS
Agnor-Hurt	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8%
Baker Butler	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	3%
Broadus Wood	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Brownsville	1	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	32	35%
Crozet	0	0	1	0	24	0	0	0	25	27%
Greer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Hollymead	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	3%
Ivy	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Mountain View	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Murray	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	14	15%
Red Hill	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Scottsville	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Stone Robinson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1%
Stony Point	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Woodbrook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	16	0	6	0	24	45	1	0	92	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	*NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES & ALTER. RES		* NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW		FARM BUILDING & ALTER.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	9	3,189,000	22	3,066,418	0	0	11	3,179,644	42	9,435,062
JOUETT	0	0	19	5,205,481	0	0	8	1,729,250	27	6,934,731
RIVANNA	6	1,670,000	41	4,455,106	0	0	5	516,000	52	6,641,106
SAMUEL MILLER	4	1,668,000	56	23,101,548	11	1,260,463	2	235,000	73	26,265,011
SCOTTVILLE	1	32,000	29	10,345,315	0	0	7	3,752,000	37	14,129,315
WHITE HALL	16	5,218,155	50	3,794,682	2	9,025,000	4	76,215	72	18,114,052
TOTAL	36	11,777,155	217	49,968,548	13	10,285,463	37	9,488,109	303	81,519,275

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

