



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2018 THIRD QUARTER BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During the third quarter of 2018, 104 building permits were issued for 105 dwelling units. There was one permit issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$2,500. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2018 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	91	24	372	26	70	25	102	26	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	487	37	524
2nd Quarter	65	27	58	29	310	25	110	37	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35	265	40	305
3rd Quarter	358	23	82	37	47	28	71	41	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42	76	29	105
4th Quarter	29	33	62	28	50	33	55	26	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46			0
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	543	107	574	120	477	111	338	130	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	828	106	
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	650		694		588		468		475		514		856		851		934		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



3rd Quarter 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	6	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	12	11%
JACK JOUETT	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
RIVANNA	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	11%
SAMUEL MILLER	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	14%
SCOTTSVILLE	14	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	22	21%
WHITE HALL	25	5	9	0	0	0	2	1	42	40%
TOTAL	74	7	18	0	0	0	5	1	105	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	21	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	22%
CROZET COMMUNITY	20	5	9	0	0	0	0	1	35	33%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	6%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	22	5	13	0	0	0	0	1	41	39%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	12	11%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	12	11%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	50	7	18	0	0	0	0	1	76	72%
RURAL AREA 1	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	7%
RURAL AREA 2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5%
RURAL AREA 3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5%
RURAL AREA 4	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	11%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	24	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	29	28%
TOTAL	74	7	18	0	0	0	5	1	105	100%

3rd Quarter 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6%
Baker Butler	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	6%
Broadus Wood	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	5%
Brownsville	12	3	9	0	0	0	0	1	25	24%
Cale	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10%
Crozet	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	11%
Greer	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Meriwether Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Murray	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Red Hill	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5%
Scottsville	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	7	7%
Stone Robinson	19	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	24	23%
Stony Point	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2%
Woodbrook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Yancey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	74	7	18	0	0	0	5	1	105	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	12	\$ 1,870,000	29	\$ 576,217	0	\$ -	38	\$ 1,815,863	79	\$ 4,262,080
JOUETT	2	\$ 3,950,000	16	\$ 2,685,291	0	\$ -	7	\$ 530,875	25	\$ 7,166,166
RIVANNA	12	\$ 5,570,399	34	\$ 3,312,663	7	\$ 5,708,091	18	\$ 3,375,516	71	\$ 17,966,669
S. MILLER	15	\$ 3,499,309	61	\$ 2,382,141	1	\$ 15,000	12	\$ 5,862,804	89	\$ 11,759,254
SCOTTSVILLE	22	\$ 5,418,812	36	\$ 1,241,207	0	\$ -	20	\$ 2,181,523	78	\$ 8,841,541
WHITE HALL	41	\$ 11,187,495	53	\$ 1,592,249	8	\$ 4,319,098	10	\$ 5,324,940	112	\$ 22,423,782
TOTAL	104	\$ 31,496,015	229	\$ 11,789,768	16	\$ 10,042,189	105	\$ 19,091,521	454	\$ 72,419,493

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.