



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2018 YEAR END CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During 2018, 611 certificates of occupancy were issued for 870 dwelling units. There were 3 permits issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$7,500. There were no certificates of occupancy issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2018
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Totals
1st Quarter	92	89	32	22	95	10	104	10	38	20	57	30	56	22	92	37	187	31	218
2nd Quarter	111	22	69	25	108	21	260	22	72	28	102	41	71	33	110	22	119	44	163
3rd Quarter	76	18	52	17	215	22	81	17	77	20	69	26	89	30	117	16	228	34	262
4th Quarter	52	24	268	22	258	23	55	29	70	50	58	25	93	23	164	35	188	39	227
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	331	153	421	86	676	76	500	78	257	118	286	122	309	108	483	110	722	148	870
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	484		507		752		578		375		408		417		593		870		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



Year End 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	60	11	32	0	0	0	0	1	104	12%
JACK JOUETT	10	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	26	3%
RIVANNA	62	9	45	0	0	0	1	9	126	14%
SAMUEL MILLER	115	0	22	0	0	0	1	0	138	16%
SCOTTSVILLE	42	1	34	0	0	255	1	1	334	38%
WHITE HALL	110	13	14	0	0	0	2	3	142	16%
TOTAL	399	34	163	0	0	255	5	14	870	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	39	11	7	0	0	0	0	1	58	7%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	37	9	45	0	0	0	0	9	100	11%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	4	1	30	0	0	0	0	0	35	4%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	62	0	18	0	0	255	0	0	335	39%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	10	1%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	144	21	116	0	0	255	0	10	546	63%
CROZET COMMUNITY	72	13	14	0	0	0	0	3	102	12%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	19	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	44	5%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	94	13	39	0	0	0	0	3	149	17%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	22	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	27	3%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	22	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	27	3%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	260	34	159	0	0	255	0	14	722	83%
RURAL AREA 1	37	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	43	5%
RURAL AREA 2	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	24	3%
RURAL AREA 3	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	5%
RURAL AREA 4	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	34	4%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	139	0	4	0	0	0	5	0	148	17%
TOTAL	399	34	163	0	0	255	5	14	870	100%

Year End 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	38	11	7	0	0	0	0	1	57	7%
Baker Butler	29	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	54	6%
Broadus Wood	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	20	2%
Brownsville	69	13	14	0	0	0	0	3	99	11%
Cale	74	1	48	0	0	255	0	0	378	43%
Crozet	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	26	3%
Greer	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	12	1%
Hollymead	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0%
Meriwether Lewis	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2%
Murray	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
Red Hill	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1%
Scottsville	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	34	4%
Stone Robinson	57	9	49	0	0	0	2	10	127	15%
Stony Point	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Woodbrook	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Yancey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	399	34	163	0	0	255	5	14	870	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	#NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	103	\$ 17,252,940	37	\$ 1,264,838	3	\$ 23,204,700	18	\$ 7,528,493	161	\$ 49,250,971
JOUETT	26	\$ 10,817,188	22	\$ 4,320,500	0	\$ -	3	\$ 430,000	51	\$ 15,567,688
RIVANNA	117	\$ 27,215,086	51	\$ 2,401,189	2	\$ 2,290,975	9	\$ 10,994,700	179	\$ 42,901,950
S. MILLER	138	\$ 49,500,934	48	\$ 5,274,771	2	\$ 2,221,000	2	\$ 2,242,139	190	\$ 59,238,844
SCOTTSVILLE	88	\$ 45,288,107	25	\$ 905,800	8	\$ 4,087,393	3	\$ 1,295,000	124	\$ 51,576,300
WHITE HALL	139	\$ 48,886,589	45	\$ 5,525,255	1	\$ 1,080,829	4	\$ 347,500	189	\$ 55,840,173
TOTAL	611	\$ 198,960,844	228	\$ 19,692,353	16	\$ 32,884,897	39	\$ 22,837,832	894	\$ 274,375,926


* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

..



Day	Miles	Kilometers
Monday	1	1
Tuesday	2	2
Wednesday	3	3
Thursday	4	4
Friday	5	5
Saturday	6	6
Sunday	7	7

County of
Albemarle
Virginia

- < \$200,000
- \$200,000 to \$299,999
- \$300,000 to \$399,999
- \$400,000 to \$499,999
- > \$499,999
- Not available