



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2018 FIRST QUARTER BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During the first quarter of 2018, 217 building permits were issued for 524 dwelling units. There was one permit issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$2,500. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2018
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Totals
1st Quarter	91	24	372	26	70	25	102	26	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	487	37	524
2nd Quarter	65	27	58	29	310	25	110	37	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35			0
3rd Quarter	358	23	82	37	47	28	71	41	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42			0
4th Quarter	29	33	62	28	50	33	55	26	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46			0
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	543	107	574	120	477	111	338	130	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	487	37	
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	650		694		588		468		475		514		856		851		524		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



1st Quarter 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	28	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	43	8%
JACK JOUETT	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	10	2%
RIVANNA	9	2	18	0	0	0	1	3	33	6%
SAMUEL MILLER	35	0	12	0	0	0	1	1	49	9%
SCOTTSVILLE	10	0	15	0	0	0	1	0	26	5%
WHITE HALL	33	13	0	0	0	316	0	1	363	69%
TOTAL	119	15	66	0	0	316	3	5	524	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	3%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	6	2	18	0	0	0	0	3	29	6%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	3%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	21	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	33	6%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	44	2	51	0	0	0	0	4	101	19%
CROZET COMMUNITY	22	13	0	0	0	316	0	1	352	67%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	10	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	25	5%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	33	13	15	0	0	316	0	1	378	72%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	85	15	66	0	0	316	0	5	487	93%
RURAL AREA 1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	2%
RURAL AREA 2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1%
RURAL AREA 3	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	3%
RURAL AREA 4	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	2%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	34	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	37	7%
TOTAL	119	15	66	0	0	316	3	5	524	100%

1st Quarter 2018

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	3%
Baker Butler	12	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	27	5%
Broadus Wood	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1%
Brownsville	19	11	0	0	0	190	0	1	221	42%
Cale	21	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	48	9%
Crozet	8	2	0	0	0	126	0	0	136	26%
Greer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Meriwether Lewis	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2%
Murray	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1%
Red Hill	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1%
Scottsville	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%
Stone Robinson	16	2	18	0	0	0	2	3	41	8%
Stony Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Woodbrook	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Yancey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOTAL	119	15	66	0	0	316	3	5	524	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	43	\$ 6,190,375	25	\$ 875,065	4	\$ 5,003,000	29	\$ 5,383,090	101	\$ 17,451,530
JOUETT	10	\$ 7,720,000	13	\$ 1,099,226	0	\$ -	5	\$ 234,826	28	\$ 9,054,052
RIVANNA	30	\$ 6,557,826	46	\$ 2,588,211	2	\$ 645,923	29	\$ 3,162,337	107	\$ 12,954,297
S. MILLER	49	\$ 11,726,902	36	\$ 2,703,195	0	\$ -	9	\$ 6,441,991	94	\$ 20,872,088
SCOTTSVILLE	26	\$ 5,469,250	11	\$ 194,513	1	\$ 15,000	13	\$ 164,809	51	\$ 5,843,572
WHITE HALL	59	\$ 47,158,102	42	\$ 2,570,958	3	\$ 1,400,000	20	\$ 606,702	124	\$ 51,735,762
TOTAL	217	\$ 84,822,455	173	\$ 10,031,168	10	\$ 7,063,923	105	\$ 15,993,755	505	\$ 117,911,301

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.