



ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2017 YEAR END BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During 2017, 664 building permits were issued for 851 dwelling units. There were five permits issued for mobile homes in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500, for a total of \$12,500. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2017 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	38	20	91	24	372	26	70	25	102	26	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	263
2nd Quarter	71	26	65	27	58	29	310	25	110	37	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35	254
3rd Quarter	50	30	358	23	82	37	47	28	71	41	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42	156
4th Quarter	91	13	29	33	62	28	50	33	55	26	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46	178
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	250	89	543	107	574	120	477	111	338	130	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	339		650		694		588		468		475		514		856		851		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



Year End 2017

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	59	12	42	0	2	0	0	2	117	14%
JACK JOUETT	13	0	24	0	0	0	0	1	38	4%
RIVANNA	102	21	30	0	0	0	1	10	164	19%
SAMUEL MILLER	128	0	7	0	0	0	3	1	139	16%
SCOTTSVILLE	32	0	8	0	0	177	1	1	219	26%
WHITE HALL	135	13	18	0	0	0	2	6	174	20%
TOTAL	469	46	129	0	2	177	7	21	851	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	45	12	3	0	0	0	0	1	61	7%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	57	21	30	0	0	0	0	10	118	14%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	9	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	67	0	7	0	0	177	0	0	251	29%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	3	0	20	0	0	0	0	1	24	3%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	174	33	72	0	0	177	0	12	468	55%
CROZET COMMUNITY	100	13	18	0	0	0	0	5	136	16%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	16	0	15	0	0	0	0	1	32	4%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	11	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	35	4%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	127	13	57	0	0	0	0	6	203	24%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	2%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	2%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	316	46	129	0	0	177	0	19	687	81%
RURAL AREA 1	38	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	41	5%
RURAL AREA 2	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	31	4%
RURAL AREA 3	56	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	60	7%
RURAL AREA 4	29	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	32	4%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	153	0	0	0	2	0	7	2	164	19%
TOTAL	469	46	129	0	2	177	7	21	851	100%

Year End 2017

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
Agnor-Hurt	42	12	3	0	0	0	0	1	58	7%
Baker Butler	36	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	75	9%
Broadus Wood	16	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	19	2%
Brownsville	98	13	18	0	0	0	2	5	136	16%
Cale	72	0	15	0	0	177	0	0	264	31%
Crozet	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	30	4%
Greer	4	0	24	0	0	0	0	1	29	3%
Hollymead	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1%
Meriwether Lewis	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	2%
Murray	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2%
Red Hill	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
Scottsville	28	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	31	4%
Stone Robinson	70	21	30	0	0	0	1	11	133	16%
Stony Point	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	2%
Woodbrook	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Yancey	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0%
TOTAL	469	46	129	0	2	177	7	21	851	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type


MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES. & ALTER. RES.		**NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW INSTITUT.		FARM BUILDING & ALTER. COMM.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	115	\$ 18,339,790	106	\$ 2,862,035	15	\$ 7,527,450	133	\$ 22,021,352	369	\$ 50,750,627
JOUETT	38	\$ 16,618,740	69	\$ 8,133,506	5	\$ 132,895	68	\$ 3,211,612	180	\$ 28,096,753
RIVANNA	154	\$ 39,494,291	156	\$ 7,250,671	3	\$ 2,370,975	73	\$ 9,969,414	386	\$ 59,085,351
S. MILLER	139	\$ 52,997,092	159	\$ 13,669,210	7	\$ 2,926,000	41	\$ 4,606,315	346	\$ 74,198,617
SCOTTSVILLE	49	\$ 28,363,310	93	\$ 2,806,051	14	\$ 6,393,920	88	\$ 6,822,394	244	\$ 44,385,675
WHITE HALL	169	\$ 53,953,023	168	\$ 8,674,632	9	\$ 1,763,961	62	\$ 4,668,049	408	\$ 69,059,665
TOTAL	664	\$ 209,766,246	751	\$ 43,396,105	53	\$ 21,115,201	465	\$ 51,299,136	1,933	\$ 325,576,688

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.


* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.



Prepared by: Kinsey Blumenthal
 Department of Community Development
 This Map is for Display Purposes Only.

Map last edited: 3/2/2018



Issued Building Permits Year End 2017

County of
Albemarle
 Virginia

Permit Type

- New Duplex Residences
- New Single Family Residences
- New Multi Family Residences
- New Accessory Apartments
- New Mobile Homes

Work Valuation

- < \$200,000
- \$200,000 to \$299,999
- \$300,000 to \$399,999
- \$400,000 to \$499,999
- > \$499,999
- Not available