

ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2022 YEAR END BUILDING REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During 2022, 1,661 building permits were issued for 914 dwelling units. There were sixteen -16- permits issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500/unit, for a total of \$40,00-. There were no permits issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2022
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Totals
1st Quarter	89	30	90	15	92	31	222	41	487	37	512	18	435	29	191	41	132	45	177
2nd Quarter	83	36	79	51	266	40	219	35	265	40	166	32	294	30	121	30	231	45	276
3rd Quarter	90	48	144	38	127	53	114	42	76	29	190	47	408	41	99	23	390	29	419
4th Quarter	61	38	56	41	205	42	132	46	99	42	132	37	75	30	295	38	20	22	42
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	323	152	369	145	690	166	687	164	927	148	1000	134	1212	130	706	132	773	141	914
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	475		514		856		851		1075		1134		1342		838		914		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



* Figures in this report may differ from quarterly reports due to updated information received after preparation of the quarterly reports

YEAR END 2022

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	25	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	64	7%
JACK JOUETT	7	0	0	0	0	227	0	0	234	26%
RIVANNA	58	0	41	0	0	50	0	1	150	16%
SAMUEL MILLER	35	0	0	0	0	142	1	0	178	19%
SCOTTSVILLE	47	5	28	0	0	44	0	0	124	14%
WHITE HALL	87	0	36	0	0	31	0	10	164	18%
TOTAL	259	5	144	0	0	494	1	11	914	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	23	0	0	227	0	0	250	27%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	2	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	18	2%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	2	0	28	0	0	24	0	0	54	6%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	12	5	0	0	0	148	0	0	165	18%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	14	2%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	22	5	67	0	0	413	0	0	507	55%
CROZET COMMUNITY	45	0	36	0	0	31	0	10	122	13%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	22	0	41	0	0	50	0	0	113	12%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	2%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	84	0	77	0	0	81	0	10	252	28%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	120	5	144	0	0	494	0	10	773	85%
RURAL AREA 1	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	4%
RURAL AREA 2	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	30	3%
RURAL AREA 3	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	4%
RURAL AREA 4	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	36	4%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	139	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	141	15%
TOTAL	259	5	144	0	0	494	1	11	914	100%

Year End 2022

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL	% TOTAL
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA	UNITS	UNITS
Agnor-Hurt	2	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	35	4%
Baker Butler	45	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	84	9%
Broadus Wood	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2%
Brownsville	42	0	8	0	0	31	0	10	91	10%
Crozet	25	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	53	6%
Greer	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Hollymead	0	0	2	0	0	50	0	0	52	6%
Meriwether Lewis	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2%
Mountain View	19	5	28	0	0	172	0	0	224	25%
Murray	5	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	19	2%
Red Hill	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	22	2%
Scottsville	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	2%
Stone Robinson	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	3%
Stony Point	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	2%
Woodbrook	0	0	0	0	0	227	0	0	227	25%
TOTAL	259	5	144	0	0	494	1	11	914	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	*NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES & ALTER. RES		* NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW		FARM BUILDING & ALTER.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	64	17,435,000	95	3,166,676	15	4,870,846	49	16,048,757	223	41,521,279
JOUETT	7	6,724,000	67	8,489,177	5	27,104,320	23	3,108,459	102	45,425,956
RIVANNA	105	46,806,017	175	12,614,560	4	5,619,500	58	21,940,922	342	86,980,999
SAMUEL MILLER	44	51,768,983	206	19,660,484	15	3,056,899	29	4,103,994	294	78,590,360
SCOTTSVILLE	82	30,522,938	149	8,717,797	16	4,494,000	46	9,450,509	293	53,185,244
WHITE HALL	134	54,389,304	252	20,253,540	5	10,492,990	16	6,449,461	407	91,585,294
TOTAL	436	207,646,241	944	72,902,234	60	55,638,555	221	61,102,102	1661	397,289,132

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.